

# Public Document Pack

## Licensing Committee

Tuesday, 30th October, 2018

6.00 pm

Conference Room 1, Blackburn Town Hall

---

### AGENDA

1. **Welcome & Apologies**
2. **Minutes of the Previous Meeting**  
**Minutes of the Licensing Committee held on 18th July 2018** **3 - 5**
3. **Declaration of Interest**  
**Declaration of Interest Form** **6**
4. **Draft Gambling Policy 2019**  
**Gambling Policy Consultation Report** **7 - 36**  
**Gambling Policy 2019 Appendix 1**
5. **National Register of Taxi Licence Revocations and Refusals**  
**NR3 Report** **37 - 66**  
**NR3 - LGA Guidance**
6. **Adoption of the Institute of Licensing "Guidance on determining the suitability of applicants and licensees in the hackney and private hire trades"**  
**IOL Guidance 2018 Report** **67 - 97**  
**IOL Guidance on Suitability Appendix 1**
7. **Update on the Work of Public Protection and Environmental Health Service**  
**Update on work of PPS Report** **98 - 101**
8. **Minutes of the Licensing Sub Committees**
- 8.1 **General Licensing Sub Committee - 14th August 2018**  
**Minutes GLSC 14th August 2018** **102 -**

**103**

**8.2 General Licensing Sub Committee - 11th September  
2018**

**Minutes GLSC 11th September 2018**

**104 -  
105**

Date Published: Monday, 22 October 2018  
Harry Catherall, Chief Executive

## LICENSING COMMITTEE Wednesday 18<sup>th</sup> July 2018

**PRESENT –**, *Councillors I Hussain (Chair) Richards, Oats, Groves, Salton, Gee*

### RESOLUTIONS

**1. Welcome and Apologies**

The chair welcomed members to the meeting and apologies were received from Councillors Casey, Batan, Pearson and Shorrocks

**2. Minutes of the Meeting held on 14<sup>th</sup> November 2017**

The minutes of the meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> March 2018 were submitted.

**RESOLVED-** That the minutes of the meeting held on 13<sup>th</sup> March 2018 be approved as a correct record and signed as a correct record

**3. Declarations of Interest**

There were no declarations of interest.

**4. Update on the work of Public Protection and Environmental Health Service**

The Committee were updated on the Council's Public Protection and Environmental Health Service during the period of May to June 2018.

During this period a multi-agency taxi enforcement operation was carried out. In total there were 14 licenced vehicles inspected by a vehicle examiner from the Council's MOT testing station; 1 vehicle had tyres below the legal limit and 5 drivers were given 7 days to put right more minor faults. The drivers were required to present their vehicles to the Council for the repairs to be assessed.

An underage sale of alcohol test purchasing operation took place on 19<sup>th</sup> June with 8 off-licences that were checked following intelligence being received. Two sales took place in the under-age operation. Investigations were ongoing to determine the best course of action to deal with those who had sold alcohol to children.

Businesses that sold hot food between the hours of 11pm and 5a, needed a licence and must pay an annual fee to maintain the licence. There had been late evening visits to a number of takeaways in Blackburn to check on whether they needed the licence.

During the period of 1<sup>st</sup> May – 30<sup>th</sup> June 2018 the members of the Licensing Team carried out the work outlined below:

Vehicle licences processed	<b>202</b>
Driver licences processed	<b>55</b>
Licensing Act licences processed	<b>14</b>
Visits to new DPSs	<b>12</b>
Inspections of premises/vehicles carried out	<b>88</b>

The Committee were made of National Issues such as the fixed odds betting terminals and that there had been an update on the Government's recent announcement that it would be reducing the maximum fixed odds stake to £2 from the current £100; this will take place in 2020.

Members were informed that the Home Office was to carry out a consultation on regulating alcohol consumption at airports. The aim is to determine whether regulation of airside drinking was required following a sharp rise in alcohol-related disorder on flights.

**RESOLVED –**

That the Committee note the updates contained in the report.  
That the Licensing Team be thanked for their hard work.

**5. Minutes of the Licensing Sub-Committees**

The Sub-Committee received the proceedings of the following Sub Committees-

**General Licensing Sub Committee 3rd July 2018**  
**General Licensing Sub Committee 12th June 2018**  
**General Licensing Sub Committee 5th June 2018**  
**General Licensing Sub Committee 6th March 2018**

**RESOLVED** - That the proceedings of the Sub Committees as outlined above be approved as a correct record and signed as a correct record.

**6. Proposed Review of Street Trading Policy in Blackburn with Darwen**

The Members of the Committee were informed about the review of the Council's street Trading procedure and policy and to agree a draft Street Trading Policy for public consultation.

The Council need to be assured of the suitability of an applicant to hold a street trading consent and of any persons working on the trading units. The Council is therefore permitted to require applicants to provide information in support of their application for a street trading consent to allow their suitability to be assessed. Currently the suitability criterion is focused primarily on food hygiene and health and safety. For example the applicant must submit evidence that suitable food hygiene training has been undertaken by them and any staff employed; gas and electrical safety certificates are required and evidence that

public liability insurance is in place. The legality and safety of the vehicle to be used is also considered with the following information being required:

- a) Insurance - a current insurance certificate which must cover street trading
- b) Road Tax - details of the vehicles current road tax
- c) A valid MOT for the vehicle
- d) The Log book (V5) for the vehicle
- e) Consent to access DVLA driving licence records to check for entitlement to drive and any endorsements on the licence. This includes the correct entitlement for towing vehicles.

To improve and promote public safety Public Protection Service staff have looked at the policies of some other local authorities. The following options are available to consider for inclusion in the draft revised Blackburn with Darwen Borough Council Street Trading Consent Policy.

1. Submission of a Basic DBS disclosure certificate to allow for identification

**RESOLVED –**

That the Committee noted the report and the approved a draft street trading policy for pupil consultation

Signed.....

Chair of the meeting at which the Minutes were signed

Date.....

## DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST IN ITEMS ON THIS AGENDA

**Members attending a Council, Committee, Board or other meeting with a personal interest in a matter on the Agenda must disclose the existence and nature of the interest and, if it is a Disclosable Pecuniary Interest or an Other Interest under paragraph 16.1 of the Code of Conduct, should leave the meeting during discussion and voting on the item.**

**Members declaring an interest(s) should complete this form and hand it to the Democratic Services Officer at the commencement of the meeting and declare such an interest at the appropriate point on the agenda.**

MEETING:

DATE:

AGENDA ITEM NO.:

DESCRIPTION (BRIEF):

NATURE OF INTEREST:

DISCLOSABLE PECUNIARY/OTHER (delete as appropriate)

SIGNED :

PRINT NAME:

(Paragraphs 8 to 17 of the Code of Conduct for Members of the Council refer)

**DEPARTMENT: ENVIRONMENT AND LEISURE**

**ORIGINATING SECTION : PUBLIC PROTECTION SERVICE**

**REPORT TO : LICENSING COMMITTEE**

**30:10:18**

**TITLE : CONSULTATION ON GAMBLING ACT 2005 – REVISION OF STATEMENT OF POLICY 2019**

## **1. PURPOSE**

To inform members of the current consultation being undertaken about the revision of the Gambling Act 2005 Statement of Policy.

## **2. RECOMMENDATIONS**

That members note the report and put forward any recommendations that they may have for inclusion in the revised policy.

## **3. KEY ISSUES**

The Licensing Authority, on behalf of the Council, is currently consulting on a revised Policy Statement for the Gambling Act 2005. A copy of the proposed policy is at appendix 1. This version has been tracked changed to allow the proposed changes from the current policy to be easily identified.

The document is based on a template issued by the Local Government Association. The aim of this is to promote a nationwide consistent approach on Gambling policy.

The Public Protection Service has consulted on a national and local level with businesses and organisations affected by the policy. The residents of the Borough have been consulted via the Council's website and through Resident Associations. A full list of consultees is included as Appendix A of the Draft Policy Statement.

The consultation is being undertaken from 3 September to 14 November 2018.

## **4. RATIONALE**

The Council is required to publish a Statement of Licensing Policy under the Gambling Act, which is a statement of principles that the Licensing Authority must consider when determining a Premises Licence application. This policy must be reviewed every three years and the next date for agreement of the policy is January 2019.

The Statement of Licensing Policy is a comprehensive document which defines the principles in exercising:

- The powers to designate, in writing, a body which is competent to advise the Licensing Authority about the protection of children from harm
- The powers to determine whether a person is an interested person in relation to a premises licence, or an application for or in respect of a premises licence.
- The functions with respect to the exchange of information between the

Licensing Authority and the Gambling Commission, and the functions under section 350 of the Act with respect to the exchange of information between the Licensing Authority and other persons listed in schedule 6 to the Act.

- The principles to be applied by the Licensing Authority in exercising the functions under part 15 of the Act with respect to the inspection of premises and the powers under section 346 of the Act to institute criminal proceedings in respect of the offences specified.

The current Statement of Policy was agreed in 2016. The proposed changes to this Statement are shown clearly in the draft at Appendix 1. In addition to amendments of contact details and titles of legislation the main change is on page 12. This incorporates the requirement, introduced in April 2016, for operators of gambling premises to carry out a local risk assessment of the impact of the provision of gambling facilities in the area on the licensing objectives.

The licensing objectives are:

- Preventing gambling from being a source of crime or disorder, being associated with crime or disorder or being used to support crime,
- Ensuring that gambling is conducted in a fair and open way,
- Protecting children and other vulnerable persons from being harmed or exploited by gambling.

## **5. POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

Approval of the policy is deemed a key decision and will be considered by full Council at the meeting scheduled for 24 January 2019.

## **6. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

The financial costs incurred by the consultation and the publication of the revised document will be borne by the fees levied for gambling premises.

## **7. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS**

None.

## **8. RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS**

Existing staff in the Public Protection Service will undertake the associated work in revising and implementing the Statement of Gambling Policy.

## **9. CONSULTATIONS**

As detailed in Key Issues.

## **10 . CONTACT OFFICER**

Donna Riding – Principal Officer - Licensing  
Telephone 01254 585004



# DRAFT GAMBLING POLICY 2019

**POLICY STATEMENT**  
**Under Section 349 of the Gambling Act 2005**

**Contents**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>Part A</b>	
1. The licensing objectives	4
2. Introduction	4
3. Declaration	6
4. Responsible Authorities	7
5. Interested parties	7
6. Exchange of information	8
7. Enforcement	8
8. Licensing authority functions	9
<b>Part B - Premises licences</b>	
1. General Principles	10
2. Casinos	11
3. Adult Gaming Centres	11
4. Betting Shops	11
5. Tracks	11
6. Bingo	12
7. Family Entertainment Centres	12
8. Travelling fairs	19
9. Provisional Statements	19
10. Reviews	20
<b>Part C - Permits / Temporary and Occasional Use Notices</b>	
1. Unlicensed Family Entertainment Centre gaming machine permits	21
2. (Alcohol) Licensed premises gaming machine permits	22
3. Prize Gaming Permits	23
4. Club Gaming and Club Machines Permits	23
5. Temporary Use Notices	24
6. Occasional Use Notices	25
7. Small Society Lotteries	25
<b>Part D – Licence conditions and Codes of Practice (LCCP)</b>	25
Risk Assessments	26
Local Area Profile	27

*This Statement of Licensing Principles was approved by Blackburn with Darwen Borough Council on **-January 2019***  
*All references to the Guidance refer to the Gambling Commission's Guidance to Licensing Authorities, 5<sup>th</sup> Edition, published September 2015.*

## Introduction

This statement of Policy in relation to the Gambling functions that this Authority regulates sets out the approach that will be taken when dealing with permissions its grants and enforces thereafter.

It also identifies how the Authority will seek to promote the licensing objectives under the Act, namely:-

- **Preventing gambling from being a source of crime or disorder, being associated with crime and disorder or being used to support crime.**
- **Ensuring gambling is conducted in a fair and open way.**
- **Protecting children and other vulnerable people from being harmed or exploited by gambling.**

Partnerships are important to us and with this in mind we will be working closely with the Gambling Commission, the Police and the others responsible authorities named within the Act. We will also provide guidance and support, where possible, to the trade, residents and businesses.

All decisions that are made in relation to gambling will be made having taken into account the three objectives and each application will be dealt with on its merits.

This policy will come into effect on the 31<sup>st</sup> January 2019 and will be reviewed no later than the 31<sup>st</sup> January 2022.

In carrying out its gambling functions this Authority will have regard to its Policy and the Guidance issued by the Gambling Commission.

## **PART A**

### **1. The Licensing Objectives**

1.1. In exercising most of their functions under the Gambling Act 2005, licensing authorities must have regard to the licensing objectives as set out in section 1 of the Act. The licensing objectives are:

- Preventing gambling from being a source of crime or disorder, being associated with crime or disorder or being used to support crime
- Ensuring that gambling is conducted in a fair and open way
- Protecting children and other vulnerable persons from being harmed or exploited by gambling

1.2. It should be noted that the Gambling Commission has stated: “The requirement in relation to children is explicitly to protect them from being harmed or exploited by gambling”.

1.3. This licensing authority is aware that, as per Section 153, in making decisions about premises licences and temporary use notices it should aim to permit the use of premises for gambling in so far as it thinks it:

- in accordance with any relevant code of practice issued by the Gambling Commission
- in accordance with any relevant guidance issued by the Gambling Commission
- Reasonably consistent with the licensing objectives and
- in accordance with the authority’s statement of licensing policy

### **2. Introduction**

2.1 Licensing authorities are required by the Gambling Act 2005 to publish a statement of the principles which they proposed to apply when exercising their functions. This statement must be published at least every three years. The statement must also be reviewed from “time to time” and any amended parts re-consulted upon. The statement must be then re-published.

2.2 The Authority consulted widely upon this Policy before finalising at a full council meeting held on 28 January 2016. A summary of responses has been published and is available upon request or via our website. A list of those persons consulted can be found at Appendix A.

2.3 The Gambling Act requires that the following parties are consulted by Licensing Authorities:

- The Chief Officer of Police;
- One or more persons who appear to the authority to represent the interests of persons carrying on gambling businesses in the authority’s area;
- One or more persons who appear to the authority to represent the interests of persons who are likely to be affected by the exercise of the authority’s functions under the Gambling Act 2005.

2.4 It should be noted that this policy statement will not override the right of any person to make an application, make representations about an application, or apply for a review

of a licence, as each will be considered on its own merits and according to the statutory requirements of the Gambling Act 2005.

2.5 .

2.6 Blackburn with Darwen Council is situated in the County of Lancashire. The Borough covers an area of approximately 13,700 hectares and contains a population of approximately 137,000 people in 51,200 households within two compact towns and a number of small villages in the surrounding rural and moorland areas. The towns of Blackburn and Darwen are 4 miles apart, but the growth of both towns has resulted in an almost continuous urban form along the A666. The vast majority of the population use the borough's shopping, leisure, employment and educational facilities.

### **Blackburn**

The town of Blackburn has a population of 102,000 people in 35,000 households. The urban area is characterised by 19<sup>th</sup> century housing within the core areas together with a close land use mix of housing, industrial and commercial areas

### **Darwen**

The town of Darwen has a population of 32,000 people in 12,700 households. Most of the development in Darwen is fairly small scale and rarely above two storeys. Unlike Blackburn, there are no clearly defined large industrial areas and the industrial and commercial premises are scattered throughout the town.

2.7 Licensing authorities are required by the Gambling Act 2005 to publish a statement of the principles which they proposed to apply when exercising their functions. This statement must be published at least every three years. The statement must also be reviewed from "time to time" and any amended parts re-consulted upon. The statement must be then re-published.

***Blackburn with Darwen Council*** consulted widely upon this statement before finalising and publishing. A list of those persons consulted is provided below. It should be noted that unsolicited comments were received from other persons but we have not listed all of these.

List of persons this authority consulted:

- The Chief Officer of Police;
- One or more persons who appear to the authority to represent the interests of persons carrying on gambling businesses in the authority's area;
- One or more persons who appear to the authority to represent the interests of persons who are likely to be affected by the exercise of the authority's functions under the Gambling Act 2005

Our consultation took place between 11th September and 30<sup>th</sup> October 2018 and we followed the HM Government Code of Practice on Consultation (published July 2008), which is available at:

[www.bis.gov.uk/files/file47158.pdf](http://www.bis.gov.uk/files/file47158.pdf)

The full list of comments made and the consideration by the Council of those

comments is available by request to The Principal Licensing Officer,  
publicprotection@blackburn.gov.uk.

The policy was approved at a meeting of the Full Council on 24 January 2019

and is published via our website.

Should you have any comments as regards this policy statement please send them via e-mail or letter to the following contact:

***The Principal Licensing Officer, Public Protection Service, Blackburn with Darwen Council,  
White Dove Office, Davyfield Road Depot, Davyfield Road Blackburn BB21LX***

It should be noted that this statement of licensing principles will not override the right of any person to make an application, make representations about an application, or apply for a review of a licence, as each will be considered on its own merits and according to the statutory requirements of the Gambling Act 2005.

## **1. Declaration**

1.1. In producing the final statement, this licensing authority declares that it has had regard to the licensing objectives of the Gambling Act 2005, the Guidance to Licensing Authorities issued by the Gambling Commission, and any responses from those consulted on the statement.

## **2. Responsible Authorities**

2.1. The licensing authority is required by regulations to state the principles it will apply in exercising its powers under Section 157(h) of the Act to designate, in writing, a body which is competent to advise the authority about the protection of children from harm. The principles are:

- the need for the body to be responsible for an area covering the whole of the licensing authority's area; and
- the need for the body to be answerable to democratically elected persons, rather than any particular vested interest group.

2.2. In accordance with the suggestion in the Gambling Commission's Guidance to Licensing Authorities, this authority designates the Local Safeguarding Children Board for this purpose.

The contact details of all the Responsible Authorities under the Gambling Act 2005 are available via the Council's website at: ***www.blackburn.gov.uk***

## **5. Interested parties**

5.1. Interested parties can make representations about licence applications, or apply for a review of an existing licence. These parties are defined in the Gambling Act 2005 as follows:

"For the purposes of this Part a person is an interested party in relation to an application for or in respect of a premises licence if, in the opinion of the licensing authority which issues the licence or to which the applications is made, the person-

- a) lives sufficiently close to the premises to be likely to be affected by the authorised activities,
- b) has business interests that might be affected by the authorised activities, or
- c) represents persons who satisfy paragraph (a) or (b)"

5.2. The licensing authority is required by regulations to state the principles it will apply in

exercising its powers under the Gambling Act 2005 to determine whether a person is an interested party. The principles are:

5.2.1. Each case will be decided upon its merits. This authority will not apply a rigid rule to its decision making. It will consider the examples of considerations provided in the Gambling Commission's Guidance to Licensing Authorities at 8.11 to 8.18 (*if the authority does not wish to follow the Gambling Commission's guidance in any respect it is advised to state this in its statement. Note though that decisions on premises licences and temporary use notices must be "in accordance" with Gambling Commission Guidance (Section 153)*). It will also consider the Gambling Commission's Guidance that "has business interests" should be given the widest possible interpretation and include partnerships, charities, faith groups and medical practices.

5.2.2. Interested parties can be persons who are democratically elected such as councillors and MP's. No specific evidence of being asked to represent an interested person will be required as long as the councillor / MP represents the ward likely to be affected. Likewise, parish councils likely to be affected will be considered to be interested parties. Other than these however, this authority will generally require written evidence that a person/body (e.g. an advocate / relative) 'represents' someone who either lives sufficiently close to the premises to be likely to be affected by the authorised activities and/or has business interests that might be affected by the authorised activities. A letter from one of these persons, requesting the representation is sufficient.

If individuals wish to approach councillors to ask them to represent their views then care should be taken that the councillors are not part of the Licensing Committee dealing with the licence application. If there are any doubts then please contact the licensing department ***LicensingTeam@blackburn.gov.uk***

## **1. Exchange of Information**

- 1.1. Licensing authorities are required to include in their statements the principles to be applied by the authority in exercising the functions under sections 29 and 30 of the Act with respect to the exchange of information between it and the Gambling Commission, and the functions under section 350 of the Act with the respect to the exchange of information between it and the other persons listed in Schedule 6 to the Act.
- 1.2. The principle that this licensing authority applies is that it will act in accordance with the provisions of the Gambling Act 2005 in its exchange of information which includes the provision that the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) will not be contravened. The licensing authority will also have regard to any Guidance issued by the Gambling Commission on this matter, as well as any relevant regulations issued by the Secretary of State under the powers provided in the Gambling Act 2005.

Should any protocols be established as regards information exchange with other bodies then they will be made available.

## **2. Enforcement**

- 2.1. Licensing authorities are required by regulation under the Gambling Act 2005 to state the principles to be applied by the authority in exercising the functions under Part 15 of the Act with respect to the inspection of premises; and the powers under section 346

of the Act to institute criminal proceedings in respect of the offences specified.

2.2. This licensing authority's principles are that:

It will be guided by the Gambling Commission's Guidance to Licensing Authorities and will endeavour to be:

- Proportionate: regulators should only intervene when necessary: remedies should be appropriate to the risk posed, and costs identified and minimised;
- Accountable: regulators must be able to justify decisions, and be subject to public scrutiny;
- Consistent: rules and standards must be joined up and implemented fairly;
- Transparent: regulators should be open, and keep regulations simple and user friendly; and
- Targeted: regulation should be focused on the problem, and minimise side effects.

2.3. As per the Gambling Commission's Guidance to Licensing Authorities this licensing authority will endeavour to avoid duplication with other regulatory regimes so far as possible.

2.4. This licensing authority has adopted and implemented a risk-based inspection programme, based on;

- The licensing objectives
- Relevant codes of practice
- Guidance issued by the Gambling Commission, in particular at Part 36
- The principles set out in this statement of licensing policy

2.5. The main enforcement and compliance role for this licensing authority in terms of the Gambling Act 2005 is to ensure compliance with the premises licences and other permissions which it authorises. The Gambling Commission is the enforcement body for the operating and personal licences. It is also worth noting that concerns about manufacture, supply or repair of gaming machines are not dealt with by the licensing authority but should be notified to the Gambling Commission.

2.6. This licensing authority also keeps itself informed of developments as regards the work of the Better Regulation Executive in its consideration of the regulatory functions of local authorities.

2.7. Bearing in mind the principle of transparency, this licensing authority's enforcement/compliance protocols/written agreements are available upon request to the licensing department [LicensingTeam@blackburn.gov.uk](mailto:LicensingTeam@blackburn.gov.uk) our risk methodology is also available upon request.

2.8. The Council will take account of the Gambling Commissions guidance document issued in February 2015 (or any subsequent amendments) 'Approach to Test Purchasing' when considering making test purchases at gambling premises. The Council will also follow its own policies and procedures regarding the use of underage test purchasers.

2.9. Where there is a Primary Authority scheme in place, the Council will seek guidance from the Primary Authority before taking any enforcement action.

Further information, including an index of all Primary Authority arrangements can be found at:



## 1. Licensing authority functions

1.1. Licensing authorities are required under the Act to:

- Be responsible for the licensing of premises where gambling activities are to take place by issuing *Premises Licences*
- Issue *Provisional Statements*
- Regulate *members' clubs* and *miners' welfare institutes* who wish to undertake certain gaming activities via issuing Club Gaming Permits and/or Club Machine Permits
- Issue *Club Machine Permits* to *Commercial Clubs*
- Grant permits for the use of certain lower stake gaming machines at *unlicensed Family Entertainment Centres*
- Receive notifications from alcohol licensed premises (under the Licensing Act 2003) for the use of two or fewer gaming machines
- Issue *Licensed Premises Gaming Machine Permits* for premises licensed to sell/supply alcohol for consumption on the licensed premises, under the Licensing Act 2003, where there are more than two machines
- Register *small society lotteries* below prescribed thresholds
- Issue *Prize Gaming Permits*
- Receive and Endorse *Temporary Use Notices*
- Receive *Occasional Use Notices*
- Provide information to the Gambling Commission regarding details of licences issued (see section above on 'information exchange')
- Maintain registers of the permits and licences that are issued under these functions

It should be noted that licensing authorities are not be involved in licensing remote gambling at all, which is regulated by the Gambling Commission via operating licences.

## PART B

### PREMISES LICENCES: CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS

#### 1. General Principles

Premises licences are subject to the requirements set-out in the Gambling Act 2005 and regulations, as well as specific mandatory and default conditions which are detailed in regulations issued by the Secretary of State. Licensing authorities are able to exclude default conditions and also attach others, where it is believed to be appropriate.

##### (i) Decision-making

This licensing authority is aware that in making decisions about premises licences it should aim to permit the use of premises for gambling in so far as it thinks it:

- in accordance with any relevant code of practice issued by the Gambling Commission;
- in accordance with any relevant guidance issued by the Gambling Commission;
- reasonably consistent with the licensing objectives; and
- in accordance with the authority's statement of licensing policy.

It is appreciated that as per the Gambling Commission's Guidance to Licensing Authorities "moral objections to gambling are not a valid reason to reject applications for premises licences" nor are considerations about nuisance or the likelihood of gaining planning or building regulations approvals. In addition unmet demand is not a criterion for a licensing

authority. The exception is with regard to any 'no casino resolution' - see section on Casinos - page 12).

The Licence Conditions and Code of Practice (LCCP) issued by the Gambling Commission places further onus on premises to complete a risk assessment based on code 8, the social responsibility code which will come into force on 6 April 2016.

The council will have regard to this code when considering applications. This is covered in detail in Part 4 of this statement.

**(ii) Definition of “premises”** – In the Act, "premises" is defined as including "any place". Section 152 therefore prevents more than one premises licence applying to any place. But a single building could be subject to more than one premises licence, provided they are for different parts of the building and the different parts of the building can be reasonably regarded as being different premises. This approach has been taken to allow large, multiple unit premises such as a pleasure park, pier, track or shopping mall to obtain discrete premises licences, where appropriate safeguards are in place. However, licensing authorities should pay particular attention if there are issues about sub-divisions of a single building or plot and should ensure that mandatory conditions relating to access between premises are observed.

The Gambling Commission states in the fourth edition of its Guidance to Licensing Authorities that: “In most cases the expectation is that a single building / plot will be the subject of an application for a licence, for example, 32 High Street. But, that does not mean 32 High Street cannot be the subject of separate premises licences for the basement and ground floor, if they are configured acceptably. Whether different parts of a building can properly be regarded as being separate premises will depend on the circumstances. The location of the premises will clearly be an important consideration and the suitability of the division is likely to be a matter for discussion between the operator and the licensing officer. However, the Commission does not consider that areas of a building that are artificially or temporarily separated, for example by ropes or moveable partitions, can properly be regarded as different premises.”

This licensing authority takes particular note of the Gambling Commission’s Guidance to Licensing Authorities which states that: licensing authorities should take particular care in considering applications for multiple licences for a building and those relating to a discrete part of a building used for other (non-gambling) purposes. In particular they should be aware of the following:

- The third licensing objective seeks to protect children from being harmed by gambling. In practice that means not only preventing them from taking part in gambling, but also preventing them from being in close proximity to gambling. Therefore premises should be configured so that children are not invited to participate in, have accidental access to or closely observe gambling where they are prohibited from participating.
- Entrances to and exits from parts of a building covered by one or more premises licences should be separate and identifiable so that the separation of different premises is not compromised and people do not “drift” into a gambling area. In this context it should normally be possible to access the premises without going through another licensed premises or premises with a permit.
- Customers should be able to participate in the activity names on the premises licence.

The Guidance also gives a list of factors which the licensing authority should be aware of, which may include:

- Do the premises have a separate registration for business rates

- Is the premises' neighbouring premises owned by the same person or someone else?
- Can each of the premises be accessed from the street or a public passageway?
- Can the premises only be accessed from any other gambling premises?

This authority will consider these and other relevant factors in making its decision, depending on all the circumstances of the case.

**The Gambling Commission's relevant access provisions for each premises type are reproduced below:**

#### **Casinos**

- The principal access entrance to the premises must be from a street (as defined at 7.24 of the Guidance)
- No entrance to a casino must be from premises that are used wholly or mainly by children and/or young persons
- No customer must be able to enter a casino directly from any other premises which holds a gambling premises licence

#### **Adult Gaming Centre**

- No customer must be able to access the premises directly from any other licensed gambling premises

#### **Betting Shops**

- Access must be from a street (as per para 7.23 Guidance to Licensing Authorities) or from another premises with a betting premises licence
- No direct access from a betting shop to another premises used for the retail sale of merchandise or services. In effect there cannot be an entrance to a betting shop from a shop of any kind and you could not have a betting shop at the back of a café – the whole area would have to be licensed.

#### **Tracks**

- No customer should be able to access the premises directly from:
  - a casino
  - an adult gaming centre

#### **Bingo Premises**

- No customer must be able to access the premise directly from:
  - a casino
  - an adult gaming centre
  - a betting premises, other than a track

#### **Family Entertainment Centre**

- No customer must be able to access the premises directly from:
  - a casino
  - an adult gaming centre
  - a betting premises, other than a track

Part 7 of the Gambling Commission's Guidance to Licensing Authorities contains further

guidance on this issue, which this authority will also take into account in its decision-making.

### **(iii) Premises “ready for gambling”**

The Guidance states that a licence to use premises for gambling should only be issued in relation to premises that the licensing authority can be satisfied are going to be ready to be used for gambling in the reasonably near future, consistent with the scale of building or alterations required before the premises are brought into use.

If the construction of a premises is not yet complete, or if they need alteration, or if the applicant does not yet have a right to occupy them, then an application for a provisional statement should be made instead.

In deciding whether a premises licence can be granted where there are outstanding construction or alteration works at a premises, this authority will determine applications on their merits, applying a two stage consideration process:-

- First, whether the premises ought to be permitted to be used for gambling
- Second, whether appropriate conditions can be put in place to cater for the situation that the premises are not yet in the state in which they ought to be before gambling takes place.

Applicants should note that this authority is entitled to decide that it is appropriate to grant a licence subject to conditions, but it is not obliged to grant such a licence.

More detailed examples of the circumstances in which such a licence may be granted can be found at paragraphs 7.60-7.67 of the Guidance.

### **(iv) Location**

This licensing authority is aware that demand issues cannot be considered with regard to the location of premises but that considerations in terms of the licensing objectives are relevant to its decision-making. As per the Gambling Commission’s Guidance to Licensing Authorities, this authority will pay particular attention to the protection of children and vulnerable persons from being harmed or exploited by gambling, as well as issues of crime and disorder. Each application will be considered on its own merits. Operators will be expected to demonstrate in their local risk assessment (LRA) the impact of the provision of gambling facilities in a particular area on the licensing objectives. From 6 April 2016 a new requirement was introduced requiring licensed operators of certain gambling establishments to undertake local risk assessments. This requirement was formalised in the Gambling Commission’s Licence Conditions and Codes of Practice (LCCP) which were revised and published in April 2018. <http://www.gamblingcommission.gov.uk/PDF/LCCP/Licence-conditions-and-codes-of-practice.pdf>

This authority has not adopted any specific policy in relation to areas where gambling premises should not be located. Should any such policy be decided upon, this policy statement will be updated. However this authority would expect an operators local risk assessment (LRA) to consider for example the proximity of their premises in relation to schools, hospitals and centres where children or vulnerable groups may be present

It should be noted that any such future policy will not preclude any application being made and each application will be decided on its merits, with the onus upon the applicant to show how any concerns can be overcome

### **(v) Planning:**

The Gambling Commission Guidance to Licensing Authorities states:

7.60 – In determining applications the licensing authority has a duty to take into consideration all relevant matters and not to take into consideration any irrelevant matters, i.e. those not related to gambling and the licensing objectives. One example of an irrelevant matter would be the likelihood of the applicant obtaining planning permission or building regulations approval for their proposal.

This authority will not take into account irrelevant matters as per the above guidance. In addition this authority notes the following excerpt from the Guidance:

7.67 - When dealing with a premises licence application for finished buildings, the licensing authority should not take into account whether those buildings have or comply with the necessary planning or building consents. Those matters should be dealt with under relevant planning control and building regulation powers, and not form part of the consideration for the premises licence. Section 210 of the 2005 Act prevents licensing authorities taking into account the likelihood of the proposal by the applicant obtaining planning or building consent when considering a premises licence application. Equally the grant of a gambling premises licence does not prejudice or prevent any action that may be appropriate under the law relating to planning or building.

#### **(vi) Duplication with other regulatory regimes**

This licensing authority seeks to avoid any duplication with other statutory / regulatory systems where possible, including planning. This authority will not consider whether a licence application is likely to be awarded planning permission or building regulations approval, in its consideration of it. It will though, listen to, and consider carefully, any concerns about conditions which are not able to be met by licensees due to planning restrictions, should such a situation arise.

When dealing with a premises licence application for finished buildings, this authority will not take into account whether those buildings have to comply with the necessary planning or buildings consents. Fire or health and safety risks will not be taken into account, as these matters are dealt with under relevant planning control, buildings and other regulations and must not form part of the consideration for the premises licence.

#### **(vii) Licensing objectives**

Premises licences granted must be reasonably consistent with the licensing objectives. With regard to these objectives, this licensing authority has considered the Gambling Commission's Guidance to Licensing Authorities and some comments are made below.

**Preventing gambling from being a source of crime or disorder, being associated with crime or disorder or being used to support crime** - This licensing authority is aware that the Gambling Commission takes a leading role in preventing gambling from being a source of crime. The Gambling Commission's Guidance does however envisage that licensing authorities should pay attention to the proposed location of gambling premises in terms of this licensing objective. Thus, where an area has known high levels of organised crime this authority will consider carefully whether gambling premises are suitable to be located there and whether conditions may be suitable such as the provision of door supervisors. This licensing authority is aware of the distinction between disorder and nuisance and will consider factors (for example whether police assistance was required and how threatening the behaviour was to those who could see it) so as to make that distinction.

**Ensuring that gambling is conducted in a fair and open way** - This licensing authority has noted that the Gambling Commission states that it generally does not expect licensing authorities to be concerned with ensuring that gambling is conducted in a fair and open way as this will be addressed via operating and personal licences. *For Local Authorities with tracks*: There is however, more of a role with regard to tracks which is explained in more

detail in the 'tracks' section– see page 17.

**Protecting children and other vulnerable persons from being harmed or exploited by gambling** - This licensing authority has noted the Gambling Commission's Guidance that this objective means preventing children from taking part in gambling (as well as restriction of advertising so that gambling products are not aimed at or are, particularly attractive to children). The licensing authority will therefore consider, as suggested in the Gambling Commission's Guidance, whether specific measures are required at particular premises, with regard to this licensing objective. Appropriate measures may include supervision of entrances / machines, segregation of areas etc.

This licensing authority is also aware of the Gambling Commission Codes of Practice as regards this licensing objective, in relation to specific premises

Section 7 of the Gambling Commission Guidance to Local Authorities sets out considerations that an operator must make in order to protect children and young people from accessing gambling premises.

The Licence Conditions and Codes of Practice (LCCP) issued in 2015 prescribe how operators must prevent children from using age restricted gaming or gambling activities, particularly where gaming machines are licensed.

In particular operators must ensure that;

- all staff are trained, that all customers are supervised when on gambling premises
- must have procedures for identifying customers who are at risk of gambling related harm.

The Council will expect all operators to have policies and procedures in place as required by the LCCP codes on social responsibility to cover all aspects of the code, in particular staff training records and self-exclusion records.

Further provisions with regard to self-exclusion and marketing are included in the social responsibility code. The council will take all conditions and codes into account when considering applications or performing enforcement activities.

See Part 4 of this policy statement for further details and on the council's requirements in relation to the LCCP.

As regards the term "vulnerable persons" it is noted that the Gambling Commission does not seek to offer a definition but states that "it will for regulatory purposes assume that this group includes people who gamble more than they want to; people who gambling beyond their means; and people who may not be able to make informed or balanced decisions about gambling due to a mental impairment, alcohol or drugs." This licensing authority will consider this licensing objective on a case by case basis.

#### **(viii)Conditions**

When considering an application the starting point will be that permission will be granted subject only to the mandatory and default conditions. Additional conditions will only be imposed where there is clear evidence of the risks to the licensing objectives. Any conditions attached to licences will be proportionate and will be:

- relevant to the need to make the proposed building suitable as a gambling facility;
- directly related to the premises and the type of licence applied for;
- fairly and reasonably related to the scale and type of premises; and

- reasonable in all other respects.

Decisions upon individual conditions will be made on a case by case basis, although there will be a number of measures this licensing authority will consider utilising should there be a perceived need, such as the use of supervisors, appropriate signage for adult only areas etc. There are specific comments made in this regard under some of the licence types below. This licensing authority will also expect the licence applicant to offer his/her own suggestions as to way in which the licensing objectives can be met effectively.

This licensing authority will also consider specific measures which may be required for buildings which are subject to multiple premises licences. Such measures may include the supervision of entrances; segregation of gambling from non-gambling areas frequented by children; and the supervision of gaming machines in non-adult gambling specific premises in order to pursue the licensing objectives. These matters are in accordance with the Gambling Commission's Guidance.

This authority will also ensure that where category C or above machines are on offer in premises to which children are admitted:

- all such machines are located in an area of the premises which is separated from the remainder of the premises by a physical barrier which is effective to prevent access other than through a designated entrance;
- only adults are admitted to the area where these machines are located;
- access to the area where the machines are located is supervised;
- the area where these machines are located is arranged so that it can be observed by the staff or the licence holder; and
- at the entrance to and inside any such areas there are prominently displayed notices indicating that access to the area is prohibited to persons under 18.

These considerations will apply to premises including buildings where multiple premises licences are applicable.

This licensing authority is aware that tracks may be subject to one or more than one premises licence, provided each licence relates to a specified area of the track. As per the Gambling Commission's Guidance, this licensing authority will consider the impact upon the third licensing objective and the need to ensure that entrances to each type of premises are distinct and that children are excluded from gambling areas where they are not permitted to enter.

It is noted that there are conditions which the licensing authority cannot attach to premises licences which are:

- any condition on the premises licence which makes it impossible to comply with an operating licence condition;
- conditions relating to gaming machine categories, numbers, or method of operation;
- conditions which provide that membership of a club or body be required (the Gambling Act 2005 specifically removes the membership requirement for casino and bingo clubs and this provision prevents it being reinstated; and
- conditions in relation to stakes, fees, winning or prizes.

#### **(ix) Door Supervisors**

The Gambling Commission advises in its Guidance to Licensing Authorities that if a licensing authority is concerned that a premises may attract disorder or be subject to attempts at unauthorised access (for example by children and young persons) then it may require that the entrances to the premises are controlled by a door supervisor, and is entitled to impose a premises licence to this effect.

Where it is decided that supervision of entrances/machines is appropriate for particular cases, a consideration of whether these need to be SIA licensed or not will be necessary. It will not be automatically assumed that they need to be licensed, as the statutory requirements for different types of premises vary (as per the Guidance, Part 33).

## **2. Adult Gaming Centres**

This licensing authority will specifically have regard to the need to protect children and vulnerable persons from harm or being exploited by gambling and will expect the applicant to satisfy the authority that there will be sufficient measures to, for example, ensure that under 18 year olds do not have access to the premises.

Where gambling facilities are provided at premises as a supplementary activity to the main purpose of the premises; e.g. motorway service areas and shopping malls, the council will expect the gambling area to be clearly defined to ensure that customers are fully aware that they are making a choice to enter into the gambling premises and that the premises is adequately supervised at all times

This licensing authority may consider measures to meet the licensing objectives such as:

- Proof of age schemes
- CCTV
- Supervision of entrances / machine areas
- Physical separation of areas
- Location of entry
- Notices / signage
- Specific opening hours
- Self-exclusion schemes
- Provision of information leaflets / helpline numbers for organisations such as GamCare.

This list is not mandatory, nor exhaustive, and is merely indicative of example measures.

## **3. (Licensed) Family Entertainment Centres:**

This licensing authority will specifically have regard to the need to protect children and vulnerable persons from harm or being exploited by gambling and will expect the applicant to satisfy the authority, for example, that there will be sufficient measures to ensure that under 18 year olds do not have access to the adult only gaming machine areas.

This licensing authority may consider measures to meet the licensing objectives such as:

- CCTV
- Supervision of entrances / machine areas
- Physical separation of areas
- Location of entry
- Notices / signage
- Specific opening hours
- Self-exclusion schemes
- Provision of information leaflets / helpline numbers for organisations such as GamCare.
- Measures / training for staff on how to deal with suspected truant school children on the premises

This list is not mandatory, nor exhaustive, and is merely indicative of example measures.



This licensing authority will, as per the Gambling Commission's guidance, refer to the Commission's website to see any conditions that apply to operating licences covering the way in which the area containing the category C machines should be delineated. This licensing authority will also make itself aware of any mandatory or default conditions on these premises licences, when they have been published.

#### **4. Casinos**

*No Casinos resolution* - This licensing authority has not passed a 'no casino' resolution under Section 166 of the Gambling Act 2005, but is aware that it has the power to do so. Should this licensing authority decide in the future to pass such a resolution, it will update this policy statement with details of that resolution. Any such decision will be made by the Full Council.

*Casinos and competitive bidding* - This licensing authority is aware that where a licensing authority area is enabled to grant a premises licence for a new style casino (i.e. the Secretary of State has made such regulations under Section 175 of the Gambling Act 2005) there are likely to be a number of operators which will want to run the casino. In such situations the local authority will run a 'competition' under Schedule 9 of the Gambling Act 2005. This licensing authority will run such a competition in line with any regulations / codes of practice issued under the Gambling Act 2005

#### **5. Bingo premises**

This licensing authority notes that the Gambling Commission's Guidance states:

Licensing authorities will need to satisfy themselves that bingo can be played in any bingo premises for which they issue a premises licence. This will be a relevant consideration where the operator of an existing bingo premises applies to vary their licence to exclude an area of the existing premises from its ambit and then applies for a new premises licence, or multiple licences, for that or those excluded areas.

Children and young people are allowed into bingo premises; however they are not permitted to participate in the bingo and if category B or C machines are made available for use these must be separated from areas where children and young people are allowed.

Details of the Code of Practice for Equal Chance Gaming in Pubs and Clubs can be found on the Gambling Commission website. This details maximum stakes and prizes without the need for a commercial Bingo Operators Licence.

#### **6. Betting premises**

*Betting machines* - This licensing authority will, as per the Gambling Commission's Guidance, take into account the size of the premises, the number of counter positions available for person-to-person transactions, and the ability of staff to monitor the use of the machines by children and young persons (it is an offence for those under 18 to bet) or by vulnerable people, when considering the number/nature/circumstances of betting machines an operator wants to offer.

#### **For authorities with tracks:**

#### **7. Tracks**

This licensing authority is aware that tracks may be subject to one or more than one premises licence, provided each licence relates to a specified area of the track. As per the Gambling Commission's Guidance, this licensing authority will especially consider the impact

upon the third licensing objective (i.e. the protection of children and vulnerable persons from being harmed or exploited by gambling) and the need to ensure that entrances to each type of premises are distinct and that children are excluded from gambling areas where they are not permitted to enter.

This authority will therefore expect the premises licence applicant to demonstrate suitable measures to ensure that children do not have access to adult only gaming facilities. It is noted that children and young persons will be permitted to enter track areas where facilities for betting are provided on days when dog-racing and/or horse racing takes place, but that they are still prevented from entering areas where gaming machines (other than category D machines) are provided.

This licensing authority may consider measures to meet the licensing objectives such as:

- Proof of age schemes
- CCTV
- Supervision of entrances / machine areas
- Physical separation of areas
- Location of entry
- Notices / signage
- Specific opening hours
- Self-exclusion schemes
- Provision of information leaflets / helpline numbers for organisations such as GamCare

This list is not mandatory, nor exhaustive, and is merely indicative of example measures.

*Gaming machines* - Where the applicant holds a pool betting operating licence and is going to use the entitlement to four gaming machines, machines (other than category D machines) should be located in areas from which children are excluded.

*Betting machines* - This licensing authority will, as per Part 6 of the Gambling Commission's Guidance, take into account the size of the premises and the ability of staff to monitor the use of the machines by children and young persons (it is an offence for those under 18 to bet) or by vulnerable people, when considering the number/nature/circumstances of betting machines an operator proposes to offer.

### **Applications and plans**

The Gambling Act (s51) requires applicants to submit plans of the premises with their application, in order to ensure that the licensing authority has the necessary information to make an informed judgement about whether the premises are fit for gambling. The plan will also be used for the licensing authority to plan future premises inspection activity. (See Guidance to Licensing Authorities, para 20.28).

Plans for tracks do not need to be in a particular scale, but should be drawn to scale and should be sufficiently detailed to include the information required by regulations. (See Guidance to Licensing Authorities, para 20.29).

Some tracks may be situated on agricultural land where the perimeter is not defined by virtue of an outer wall or fence, such as point-to-point racetracks. In such instances, where an entry fee is levied, track premises licence holders may erect temporary structures to restrict access to premises (See Guidance to Licensing Authorities, para 20.31).

In the rare cases where the outer perimeter cannot be defined, it is likely that the track in

question will not be specifically designed for the frequent holding of sporting events or races. In such cases betting facilities may be better provided through occasional use notices where the boundary premises do not need to be defined. (See Guidance to Licensing Authorities, para 20.32).

This authority appreciates that it is sometimes difficult to define the precise location of betting areas on tracks. The precise location of where betting facilities are provided is not required to be shown on track plans, both by virtue of the fact that betting is permitted anywhere on the premises and because of the difficulties associated with pinpointing exact locations for some types of track. Applicants should provide sufficient information that this authority can satisfy itself that the plan indicates the main areas where betting might take place. For racecourses in particular, any betting areas subject to the “five times rule” (commonly known as betting rings) must be indicated on the plan. (See Guidance to Licensing Authorities, para 20.33).

## **8. Travelling Fairs**

This licensing authority is responsible for deciding whether, where category D machines and / or equal chance prize gaming without a permit is to be made available for use at travelling fairs, the statutory requirement that the facilities for gambling amount to no more than an ancillary amusement at the fair is met.

The licensing authority will also consider whether the applicant falls within the statutory definition of a travelling fair.

It is noted that the 27-day statutory maximum for the land being used as a fair applies on a per calendar year basis, and that it applies to the piece of land on which the fairs are held, regardless of whether it is the same or different travelling fairs occupying the land. This licensing authority will work with its neighbouring authorities to ensure that land which crosses our boundaries is monitored so that the statutory limits are not exceeded.

## **9. Provisional Statements**

Developers may wish to apply to this authority for provisional statements before entering into a contract to buy or lease property or land to judge whether a development is worth taking forward in light of the need to obtain a premises licence. There is no need for the applicant to hold an operating licence in order to apply for a provisional statement.

S204 of the Gambling Act provides for a person to make an application to the licensing authority for a provisional statement in respect of premises that he or she:

- expects to be constructed;
- expects to be altered; or
- expects to acquire a right to occupy.

The process for considering an application for a provisional statement is the same as that for a premises licence application. The applicant is obliged to give notice of the application in the same way as applying for a premises licence. Responsible authorities and interested parties may make representations and there are rights of appeal.

In contrast to the premises licence application, the applicant does not have to hold or have applied for an operating licence from the Gambling Commission (except in the case of a track) and they do not have to have a right to occupy the premises in respect of which their provisional application is made.

The holder of a provisional statement may then apply for a premises licence once the premises are constructed, altered or acquired. The licensing authority will be constrained in

the matters it can consider when determining the premises licence application, and in terms of representations about premises licence applications that follow the grant of a provisional statement, no further representations from relevant authorities or interested parties can be taken into account unless:

- they concern matters which could not have been addressed at the provisional statement stage, or
- they reflect a change in the applicant's circumstances.

In addition, the authority may refuse the premises licence (or grant it on terms different to those attached to the provisional statement) only by reference to matters:

- which could not have been raised by objectors at the provisional statement stage;
- which in the authority's opinion reflect a change in the operator's circumstances; or
- where the premises has not been constructed in accordance with the plan submitted with the application. This must be a substantial change to the plan and this licensing authority notes that it can discuss any concerns it has with the applicant before making a decision.

#### **10. Reviews:**

Requests for a review of a premises licence can be made by interested parties or responsible authorities; however, it is for the licensing authority to decide whether the review is to be carried-out. This will be on the basis of whether the request for the review is relevant to the matters listed below;

- in accordance with any relevant Code of Practice issued by the Gambling Commission;
- in accordance with any relevant guidance issued by the Gambling Commission;
- reasonably consistent with the licensing objectives; and
- in accordance with the authority's statement of principles.

The request for the review will also be subject to the consideration by the authority as to whether the request is frivolous, vexatious, or whether it will certainly not cause this authority to wish to alter/revoke/suspend the licence, or whether it is substantially the same as previous representations or requests for review.

The licensing authority can also initiate a review of a particular premises licence, or a particular class of premises licence on the basis of any reason which it thinks is appropriate.

Once a valid application for a review has been received by the licensing authority, representations can be made by responsible authorities and interested parties during a 28 day period. This period begins 7 days after the application was received by the licensing authority, who will publish notice of the application within 7 days of receipt.

The licensing authority must carry out the review as soon as possible after the 28 day period for making representations has passed.

The purpose of the review will be to determine whether the licensing authority should take any action in relation to the licence. If action is justified, the options open to the licensing authority are:-

- (a) add, remove or amend a licence condition imposed by the licensing authority;
- (b) exclude a default condition imposed by the Secretary of State or Scottish Ministers (e.g. opening hours) or remove or amend such an exclusion;
- (c) suspend the premises licence for a period not exceeding three months; and
- (d) revoke the premises licence.

In determining what action, if any, should be taken following a review, the licensing authority must have regard to the principles set out in section 153 of the Act, as well as any relevant representations.

In particular, the licensing authority may also initiate a review of a premises licence on the grounds that a premises licence holder has not provided facilities for gambling at the premises. This is to prevent people from applying for licences in a speculative manner without intending to use them.

Once the review has been completed, the licensing authority must, as soon as possible, notify its decision to:

- the licence holder
- the applicant for review (if any)
- the Commission
- any person who made representations
- the chief officer of police or chief constable; and
- Her Majesty's Commissioners for Revenue and Customs

## **PART C**

### **Permits / Temporary & Occasional Use Notice**

#### **1. Unlicensed Family Entertainment Centre gaming machine permits (Statement of Principles on Permits - Schedule 10 paragraph 7)**

Where a premises does not hold a premises licence but wishes to provide gaming machines, it may apply to the licensing authority for this permit. It should be noted that the applicant must show that the premises will be wholly or mainly used for making gaming machines available for use (Section 238).

The Gambling Act 2005 states that a licensing authority may prepare a *statement of principles* that they propose to consider in determining the suitability of an applicant for a permit and in preparing this statement, and/or considering applications, it need not (but may) have regard to the licensing objectives and shall have regard to any relevant guidance issued by the Commission under section 25. The Gambling Commission's Guidance to Licensing Authorities also states: "In their three year licensing policy statement, licensing authorities may include a statement of principles that they propose to apply when exercising their functions in considering applications for permits...., licensing authorities will want to give weight to child protection issues."

Guidance also states: "...An application for a permit may be granted only if the licensing authority is satisfied that the premises will be used as an unlicensed FEC, and if the chief officer of police has been consulted on the application....Licensing authorities might wish to consider asking applications to demonstrate:

- a full understanding of the maximum stakes and prizes of the gambling that is permissible in unlicensed FECs;
- that the applicant has no relevant convictions (those that are set out in Schedule 7 of the Act; and
- that staff are trained to have a full understanding of the maximum stakes and prizes.

It should be noted that a licensing authority cannot attach conditions to this type of permit.

Statement of Principles. This licensing authority will expect the applicant to show that there are policies and procedures in place to protect children from harm. Harm in this context is not limited to harm from gambling but includes wider child protection considerations. The efficiency of such policies and procedures will each be considered on their merits, however, they may include appropriate measures / training for staff as regards suspected truant school children on the premises, measures / training covering how staff would deal with unsupervised very young children being on the premises, or children causing perceived problems on / around the premises. This licensing authority will also expect, as per Gambling Commission Guidance, that applicants demonstrate a full understanding of the maximum stakes and prizes of the gambling that is permissible in unlicensed FECs; that the applicant has no relevant convictions (those that are set out in Schedule 7 of the Act); and that staff are trained to have a full understanding of the maximum stakes and prizes.

## **2. (Alcohol) Licensed premises gaming machine permits - (Schedule 13 paragraph 4(1))**

### **Automatic entitlement: 2 machines**

There is provision in the Act for premises licensed to sell alcohol for consumption on the premises to automatically have 2 gaming machines, of categories C and/or D. The premises merely need to notify the licensing authority and pay the prescribed fee.

The licensing authority can remove the automatic authorisation in respect of any particular premises if:

- provision of the machines is not reasonably consistent with the pursuit of the licensing objectives;
- gaming has taken place on the premises that breaches a condition of section 282 of the Gambling Act (i.e. that written notice has been provided to the licensing authority, that a fee has been provided and that any relevant code of practice issued by the Gambling Commission about the location and operation of the machine has been complied with);
- the premises are mainly used for gaming; or
- an offence under the Gambling Act has been committed on the premises.

### **Permit: 3 or more machines**

If a premises wishes to have more than 2 machines, then it needs to apply for a permit and the licensing authority must consider that application based upon the licensing objectives, any guidance issued by the Gambling Commission issued under Section 25 of the Gambling Act 2005, and “*such matters as they think relevant.*”

This licensing authority considers that “such matters” will be decided on a case by case basis but generally there will be regard to the need to protect children and vulnerable persons from harmed or being exploited by gambling and will expect the applicant to satisfy the authority that there will be sufficient measures to ensure that under 18 year olds do not have access to the adult only gaming machines. Measures which will satisfy the authority that there will be no access may include the adult machines being in sight of the bar, or in the sight of staff who will monitor that the machines are not being used by those under 18. Notices and signage may also be help. As regards the protection of vulnerable persons, applicants may wish to consider the provision of information leaflets / helpline numbers for organisations such as GamCare.

It is recognised that some alcohol licensed premises may apply for a premises licence for their non-alcohol licensed areas. Any such application would most likely need to be applied

for, and dealt with as an Adult Gaming Centre premises licence.

It should be noted that the licensing authority can decide to grant the application with a smaller number of machines and/or a different category of machines than that applied for. Conditions (other than these) cannot be attached.

It should also be noted that the holder of a permit must comply with any Code of Practice issued by the Gambling Commission about the location and operation of the machine.

### **3. Prize Gaming Permits**

The Gambling Act 2005 states that a licensing authority may “prepare a statement of principles that they propose to apply in exercising their functions under this Schedule” which “may, in particular, specify matters that the licensing authority proposes to consider in determining the suitability of the applicant for a permit”.

This licensing authority has prepared a Statement of Principles which is that the applicant should set out the types of gaming that he or she is intending to offer and that the applicant should be able to demonstrate:

- that they understand the limits to stakes and prizes that are set out in Regulations;
- that the gaming offered is within the law
- Clear policies that outline the steps to be taken to protect children from harm.

In making its decision on an application for this permit the licensing authority does not need to (but may) have regard to the licensing objectives but must have regard to any Gambling Commission guidance. (Gambling Act 2005, Schedule 14 paragraph 8(3)).

It should be noted that there are conditions in the Gambling Act 2005 by which the permit holder must comply, but that the licensing authority cannot attach conditions. The conditions in the Act are:

- the limits on participation fees, as set out in regulations, must be complied with;
- all chances to participate in the gaming must be allocated on the premises on which the gaming is taking place and on one day; the game must be played and completed on the day the chances are allocated; and the result of the game must be made public in the premises on the day that it is played;
- the prize for which the game is played must not exceed the amount set out in regulations (if a money prize), or the prescribed value (if non-monetary prize); and
- participation in the gaming must not entitle the player to take part in any other gambling.

### **4. Club Gaming and Club Machines Permits**

Members Clubs and Miners' welfare institutes (but not Commercial Clubs) may apply for a Club Gaming Permit. The Club Gaming Permit will enable the premises to provide gaming machines (3 machines of categories B, C or D), equal chance gaming and games of chance as set-out in forthcoming regulations.

Members Clubs and Miner's welfare institutes – and also Commercial Clubs – may apply for a Club Machine Permit. A Club Machine permit will enable the premises to provide gaming machines (3 machines of categories B, C or D). NB Commercial Clubs may not site category B3A gaming machines offering lottery games in their club.

This licensing authority notes that the Gambling Commission's Guidance states:

**The LA has to satisfy itself that the club meets the requirements of the Act to obtain a club gaming permit. In doing so it will take account a number of matters as outlined in sections 25.47-25.49 of the Gambling Commission's Guidance. These include the constitution of the club, the frequency of gaming, and ensuring that there are more than 25 members.**

The club must be conducted 'wholly or mainly' for purposes other than gaming, unless the gaming is permitted by separate regulations. The Secretary of State has made regulations and these cover bridge and whist clubs.

The Commission Guidance also notes that "licensing authorities may only refuse an application on the grounds that:

- (a) the applicant does not fulfil the requirements for a members' or commercial club or miners' welfare institute and therefore is not entitled to receive the type of permit for which it has applied;
- (b) the applicant's premises are used wholly or mainly by children and/or young persons;
- (c) an offence under the Act or a breach of a permit has been committed by the applicant while providing gaming facilities;
- (d) a permit held by the applicant has been cancelled in the previous ten years; or
- (e) an objection has been lodged by the Commission or the police.

There is also a 'fast-track' procedure available under the Act for premises which hold a Club Premises Certificate under the Licensing Act 2003 (Schedule 12 paragraph 10).

**Commercial clubs cannot hold club premises certificates under the Licensing Act 2003 and so cannot use the fast-track procedure.** As the Gambling Commission's Guidance to Licensing Authorities states: "Under the fast-track procedure there is no opportunity for objections to be made by the Commission or the police, and the ground upon which an authority can refuse a permit are reduced." and "The grounds on which an application under the process may be refused are:

- (a) that the club is established primarily for gaming, other than gaming prescribed under schedule 12;
- (b) that in addition to the prescribed gaming, the applicant provides facilities for other gaming; or
- (c) that a club gaming permit or club machine permit issued to the applicant in the last ten years has been cancelled."

There are statutory conditions on club gaming permits that no child uses a category B or C machine on the premises and that the holder complies with any relevant provision of a code of practice about the location and operation of gaming machines.

## **5. Temporary Use Notices**

Temporary Use Notices allow the use of premises for gambling where there is no premises licence but where a gambling operator wishes to use the premises temporarily for providing facilities for gambling. Premises that might be suitable for a Temporary Use Notice, according the Gambling Commission, would include hotels, conference centres and sporting venues.

The licensing authority can only grant a Temporary Use Notice to a person or company holding a relevant operating licence, i.e. a non-remote casino operating licence.

The Secretary of State has the power to determine what form of gambling can be authorised by Temporary Use Notices, and at the time of writing this Statement the relevant regulations (SI no 3157: The Gambling Act 2005 (Temporary Use Notices) Regulations 2007) state that Temporary Use Notices can only be used to permit the provision of facilities or equal chance gaming, where the gaming is intended to produce a single winner, which in practice means



poker tournaments.

There are a number of statutory limits as regards Temporary Use Notices. The meaning of "premises" in Part 8 of the Act is discussed in Part 7 of the Gambling Commission Guidance to Licensing Authorities. As with "premises", the definition of "a set of premises" will be a question of fact in the particular circumstances of each notice that is given. In the Act "premises" is defined as including "any place".

In considering whether a place falls within the definition of "a set of premises", the licensing authority needs to look at, amongst other things, the ownership/occupation and control of the premises.

This licensing authority expects to object to notices where it appears that their effect would be to permit regular gambling in a place that could be described as one set of premises, as recommended in the Gambling Commission's Guidance to Licensing Authorities.

#### **6. Occasional Use Notices:**

The licensing authority has very little discretion as regards these notices aside from ensuring that the statutory limit of 8 days in a calendar year is not exceeded. This licensing authority will though consider the definition of a 'track' and whether the applicant is permitted to avail him/herself of the notice. This licensing authority will also ensure that no more than 8 OUNs are issued in one calendar year in respect of any venue.

#### **7. Small Society Lotteries**

This licensing authority will adopt a risk based approach towards its enforcement responsibilities for small society lotteries. This authority considers that the following list, although not exclusive, could affect the risk status of the operator:

- submission of late returns (returns must be submitted no later than three months after the date on which the lottery draw was held)
- submission of incomplete or incorrect returns
- breaches of the limits for small society lotteries

Non-commercial gaming is permitted if it takes place at a non-commercial event, either as an incidental or principal activity at the event. Events are non-commercial if no part of the proceeds is for private profit or gain. The proceeds of such events may benefit one or more individuals if the activity is organised:

- by, or on behalf of, a charity or for charitable purposes
- to enable participation in, or support of, sporting, athletic or cultural activities.

Charities and community groups should contact this licensing authority on [public.protection@blackburn.gov.uk] to see further advice.

### **PART D**

#### **Licence Conditions & Codes of Practice (LCCP)**

The Gambling Commission released an LCCP in February 2015 with a commencement date of May 2015. The code strengthened the social responsibility code (SR) requirements. Details regarding the LCCP and SR code can be accessed via the Gambling Commission website at [www.gamblingcommission.gov.uk](http://www.gamblingcommission.gov.uk)

The code requires operators:

- To supervise customers effectively on gambling premises and identify customers who are at risk of gambling related harm.
- With effect from April 2016 to have in place schemes to allow customers to self-exclude themselves from all operators of a similar type in the area where they live and work.
- To have a range of measures with regard to marketing to ensure social responsibility that are transparent and not misleading.
- With effect from April 2016 to produce a risk assessment on individual premises, and have policies and procedures and control measures in place to mitigate local risks to the licensing objectives.

## 1) Risk Assessments

Such risk assessments are required from new applicants, and from existing premises licensees seeking to vary a licence and are to be presented to the licensing authority upon application. The code requires all operators of Casino's, AGC's, Bingo Premises, FEC's, Betting shops and remote betting intermediaries to assess local risks to the licensing objectives, and to have policies, procedures and control measures in place to mitigate those risks.

Operators are required by the SR code to make the risk assessment available to licensing authorities when an application is submitted either for new premises licence or variation of a premises licence, or otherwise on request, and this will form part of the council's inspection regime and may be requested when officers are investigating complaints.

The code requires the Council to set out matters they expect the operator to take account of in the risk assessment in its statement of policy and this council expects the following matters to be considered by operators when making their risk assessment.

- Information held by the licensee regarding self-exclusions and incidences of underage gambling,
- Arrangement for localised exchange of information regarding self-exclusions and gaming trends.
- Urban setting such as proximity to schools, commercial environment, factors affecting footfall,
- The council expects the following matters to be considered by operators when making their risk assessment.
- Matters relating to children and young persons, including; Institutions, places or areas where presence of children and young persons should be expected such as schools, youth clubs, parks, playgrounds and entertainment venues such as bowling allies, cinemas etc.
- Recorded incidents of attempted underage gambling

Matters relating to vulnerable adults, including;

- Information held by the licensee regarding self-exclusions and incidences of underage gambling,

- Arrangement for localised exchange of information regarding self-exclusions and gaming trends.
- Proximity of premises which may be frequented by vulnerable people such as hospitals, residential care homes, medical facilities, doctor's surgeries, council housing offices, addiction clinics or help centres, places where alcohol or drug dependant people may congregate, etc.

This list is not exhaustive and other factors not in this list that are identified must be taken into consideration.

### **Local Area Profile**

The Council has considered the local area profile and feels the main issues will be covered by the risk assessments required under the LCCP.

### **APPENDIX A**

#### CONSULTEES

British Beer & Pub Association  
 HM Customs & Excise  
 Gamblers Anonymous  
 Gamcare  
 Lancashire Fire & Rescue Service  
 Chief Constable  
 The Lotteries Council  
 The Bingo Association  
 Association of British Bookmakers  
 Casino Operators Association of the UK (COA (UK))  
 Business in Sport and Leisure  
 BACTA  
 British Casino Association (BCA)  
 Security Industry Authority  
 Responsibility in Gambling Trust  
 Gambling Commission  
 TOTE  
 Ladbrokes Plc  
 William Hill  
 Paul Deans Bookmakers Ltd  
 Betfred  
 British Holiday and Home Parks Association  
 Club and Institute Union  
 Society of Independent Brewers  
 Nobles Amusements  
 The Rank Group Plc  
 Leisure Link  
 Gamestec Leisure Ltd

Gala Coral Group Ltd  
George Bet Centres Ltd  
Punch Taverns  
Social Services  
Resident Associations within the Borough  
Betting Offices within the Borough

**DEPARTMENT: ENVIRONMENT AND LEISURE**

**ORIGINATING SECTION : PUBLIC PROTECTION SERVICE**

**REPORT TO : LICENSING COMMITTEE**

**30:10:18**

**TITLE : National Register of Taxi Licence Revocations and Refusals**

## **1. PURPOSE**

To inform members of the National Register of Taxi Licence Revocations and Refusals with a view to adopting its use by Blackburn with Darwen Borough Council.

## **2. RECOMMENDATIONS**

That members note the report and direct officers to draft the necessary amendments to Policy for the adoption and use of the National Register of Taxi Licence Revocations and Refusals.

## **3. KEY ISSUES**

Licensing Authorities must satisfy themselves that anyone driving a private hire or hackney carriage vehicle is fit and proper to do so. Initially this is done at the application stage and then at any time during the life of the licence. Authorities may refuse a licence or if evidence suggests may suspend, revoke or refuse to renew.

Currently when a driver who does not disclose information about a previous revocation or refusal of a licence there is often no way for a licensing authority to find out about it. This means that vital information about a driver's past behaviour is missed and a driver might be granted a licence in a new area despite having a licence revoked elsewhere.

In response to this the Local Government Association (LGA) have commissioned the development of a national register of all revoked and refused private hire and hackney carriage drivers licences (NR3).

This will allow licensing authorities to record their refusals and revocations and check new applicants against the register.

Guidance has been issued by the LGA on how to implement use of the register. Authorities will need to update policies, provide historical data to the database and amend forms and information given to drivers. In particular, all the drivers who have been refused or revoked in the past will need to be written to individually and informed that their details will be entered onto the register.

## **4. RATIONALE**

The simple objective of the NR3 is to ensure that all authorities are able to make properly informed decisions on whether an applicant is fit and proper, in the knowledge that another authority has reached a negative view on the same applicant. This will be achieved by providing a mechanism for allowing authorities to check. Whenever an authority receives an application for a

licence they must check at an early stage if that driver is on the register.

Every decision must be made on its own merits; a previous refusal or revocation cannot fetter the Licensing Authorities decision by relying on the previous decision. The purpose of the register is not to mean that a person who has been refused a licence on one occasion should always be refused. However it will always be relevant for an authority to consider the previous revocation or refusal and reasons for it.

The register will be hosted by the National Anti-Fraud Network (NAFN) through a dedicated secure portal. An officer will be designated as a Single Point of Contact to input and retrieve information from the register. Data sharing agreements will need to be signed to ensure compliance with General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR) and the Data Protection Act 2018.

Historic data will be migrated onto the register and details will be stored for up to 25 years.

## **5. POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

Blackburn with Darwen Borough Council's Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Licensing Policy will need to be up dated to reflect changes brought about by the use of National Register of Taxi Licence Revocations and Refusals.

## **6. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

Any financial costs incurred through use of National Register of Taxi Licence Revocations and Refusals will be taken into account when calculating fees for taxi related licences.

## **7. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS**

Theoretical risk of legal challenge if processes or procedures are not followed.

## **8. RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS**

Existing staff in the Public Protection Service will undertake the associated work in adopting and using the national register.

## **9. CONSULTATIONS**

Licensing Committee and executive member

## **10 . CONTACT OFFICER**

Donna Riding – Principal Officer - Licensing  
Telephone 01254 585004



## Guidance on adopting the National Register of Taxi Licence Revocations & Refusals (NR3)

## Contents

1. Background
2. Objective of NR3
3. Voluntary disclosure of previous licensing history
4. NR3 - an overview
  - 4.1. Accessing the register
  - 4.2. Register functionality
  - 4.3. Historic data migration
5. Updating licensing processes and procedures
  - 5.1. Informing applicants of the NR3 register
  - 5.2. Adding details of a refusal or revocation
  - 5.3. Checking the register as part of the application and renewal process
  - 5.4. Acting on detailed disclosures
6. Complying with data protection requirements
  - 6.1. Updating the licensing policy, application forms and guidance
  - 6.2. Making existing licensees aware

**Annex A** – guidance on amendments to policies and forms

**Annex B** – suggested notification to existing licensees of NR3

**Annex C** - suggested notification to former licence holders or applicants whose details will be entered onto NR3

**Annex D** – Suggested template policy relating to requests for information, disclosure of information, and use of information as a result of an entry on NR3, including template disclosure form



## 1. Background

Licensing Authorities are required to satisfy themselves that those holding hackney carriage and Private Hire Vehicle (PHV) driver licences<sup>1</sup> are 'fit and proper' to do so. This is done firstly during the determination of an application for a licence, and then at any time during the currency of a licence. For example when evidence is obtained that suggests that a licensed individual is not a fit and proper person the licensing authority is entitled to suspend, revoke or refuse to renew a licence.

The process of assessing whether an applicant or licensee is 'fit and proper' may vary between authorities but there is widespread consensus on the need to increase consistency and set national minimum standards for the fit and proper test at a suitably high level. This would help prevent individuals who have had a licence revoked by one authority from simply going to another area and securing a licence - assuming the second authority was aware of the earlier revocation.

At the moment, if drivers do not disclose information about a previous revocation or refusal of a licence, there is often no way for a licensing authority to find this information out. This means that vital intelligence about an applicant's past behaviour is being missed and an individual might be able to get a new licence in another area, despite having their licence revoked elsewhere. High profile instances of this happening have undermined public confidence in the safety of hackney carriages and PHVs, and left licensing authorities open to criticism for something that is currently very difficult for them to control.

In response to this issue, the Local Government Association (LGA) has commissioned the development of a national register of hackney carriage and PHV driver licence refusals and revocations, the 'National Register of Refusals and Revocations' or NR3. The new register will allow licensing authorities to record details of where a hackney carriage or PHV drivers' licence has been refused or revoked, and allow licensing authorities to check new applicants against the register. This should help to prevent people found to be not fit and proper in one area from securing a licence somewhere else through deception and non-disclosure. For the avoidance of doubt, NR3 does not extend to vehicle or operator licensing decisions.

This guidance note provides information on the steps that licensing authorities should take to ensure that they have the necessary supporting procedures in place to make use of the register. Specific user guidance and training materials on using the register will be published separately.

### **Important**

**Licensing authorities will be data controllers in relation to their processing of personal data in connection with NR3, including in relation to uploading information to NR3, consulting NR3, and disclosing or receiving information about individuals who appear on NR3. Licensing authorities are therefore strongly advised to work closely with their information governance and legal teams to assure themselves that they are taking the necessary steps to comply with data protection and other laws in regard to NR3.**

---

<sup>1</sup> Throughout this document, this term includes dual or combined Hackney / PHV licences.

## 2. Objective of NR3

The simple objective of the national register is to ensure that authorities are able to take properly informed decisions on whether an applicant is fit and proper, in the knowledge that another authority has previously reached a negative view on the same applicant. This will be achieved by providing a mechanism for licensing authorities to be able to check whether an individual has had a licence refused or revoked. Whenever a licensing authority processes a new application for a hackney carriage/PHV driver's licence, or for a renewal, it should check the register at a suitably early stage of the process to confirm whether the applicant was subject to a previous licensing decision that they should be aware of.

Every application must always be considered on its own merits. A licensing authority must not fetter its decision-making, or appear to have simply relied upon the previous decision of another authority. The purpose of the register is not to mean that an applicant who has been refused a licence on one occasion will always be refused.

However, it will always be relevant for an authority to consider a previous refusal or revocation, and the reasons for that decision. That previous decision may in many cases warrant significant weight to be given to it. Licensing authorities will wish to think carefully about taking a different view to an earlier decision. Depending on the nature and context of the earlier decision, they may require strong and new evidence to support a different view, having regard to the representations of the applicant. Any authority will wish to have proper respect for the decision of a previous authority, having regard to the fact that a driver had the right of appeal to the Magistrates' Court against a decision which was wrong or flawed. Without this approach, the objectives of safeguarding and consistency – and the reputation of local government – will be undermined.

The register will not record suspensions of drivers' licences. This is for the following reasons:

- i. any suspension that was later lifted because the original information was false or unsubstantiated would have to be removed from the register, but any search during the period that the information remained in the register might prejudice a subsequent application
- ii. suspension should not be used as an interim step pending revocation. If the matter is serious enough to warrant a driver being prevented from driving, revocation should be the action taken<sup>2</sup>; and
- iii. where a suspension period is imposed as a short-term punishment for minor transgression, this should not influence a subsequent decision, as further serious non-compliance should lead to revocation<sup>3</sup>. Accordingly any pattern of unacceptable behaviour should be identified by revocations or refusals to renew, rather than by a recurring pattern of suspensions.

For these reasons, no records of suspension should be included, including migration of historic records relating to suspension.

---

<sup>2</sup> See *R (on the application of Singh) v Cardiff City Council (Admin)*, [2013] LLR 108 and *Reigate & Banstead Borough Council v Pawlowski* [2018] R.T.R. 10

<sup>3</sup> Suspension as a punishment is permissible – see *R (on the application of Singh) v Cardiff City Council* [2013] LLR 108

### 3. Voluntary disclosure of previous licensing history

NR3 provides a mechanism for sharing information about an individual's previous licensing history if they have had a licence revoked or an application for one refused. Most licensing authorities already ask applicants to indicate on their application forms whether they have previously had a licence revoked or refused. With the introduction of NR3, authorities should ensure that the request for this information is clearly set out on the application form and accompanying guidance notes. Where an applicant fails to volunteer information that has been clearly requested but which is subsequently identified through NR3, this may in itself raise questions about the applicant's integrity and status as a fit and proper person.

### 4. NR3 - an overview

#### 4.1. Accessing the register

The national register is hosted by the National Anti-Fraud Network (NAFN). Access to the register is only available to members of NAFN. Licensing authorities are encouraged to join up to NAFN and recover the cost of this through their taxi licence fees. NAFN can be contacted by email on [general@nafn.gov.uk](mailto:general@nafn.gov.uk).

NAFN members will need to sign up specifically to the NR3 element of the NAFN database, which will allow access to the dedicated portal. This is a relatively straightforward process and can be done by contacting NAFN.

A relevant officer will need to be designated as a single point of contact (SPOC) as part of the registration process. Authorities which already use the NAFN system will already have an existing SPOC in place (or potentially multiple SPOCs for different areas of functionality), so consideration will need to be given to the interaction between existing NAFN contacts and the new NR3 functionality.

Once set up on the register, other officers will be able to create user accounts which will allow them to submit data or search the register, but these accounts will need to be verified/ approved by the SPOC. Consideration should be given to the number of officers that need to be set up with user accounts to enable them to use the register to search / input information.

Subscribing to the national register will require local authorities to sign up to data sharing and data processing agreements with NAFN. These agreements outline the necessary steps the authority will need to take to ensure compliance and will cover requirements under both the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and Data Protection Act 2018 (DPA).

#### 4.2. Register functionality

The register has two basic elements of functionality; it enables authorities to record details of relevant drivers, and it enables them to undertake searches of the data held in the register.

Licensing authorities will be responsible for adding basic details of drivers who have had a licence revoked or an application for one refused. The intention is that when a licensing authority receives an application for a licence or a renewal, the applicant's details will be checked on the register to confirm that there is no record of them having being revoked or refused elsewhere.

Details contained on the register will be limited to information that will help to identify an individual to a certain degree of accuracy, but will not give a reason or explanation of why an action was taken. It will be up to individual authorities to follow up on any searches which come back with a match with the appropriate licensing authority, whose contact details will be included in the search result.

Details will be kept on the register for a period of 25 years, and local authorities will therefore need to ensure that their own information governance policies reflect this. The register has been developed to support public safety through the potential sharing of information that is relevant to consideration of whether an individual is a fit and proper person to hold a taxi licence. There will be instances where the basis for an individual's licence being revoked or refused is sufficiently serious as to remain relevant to a future taxi licence application however far in advance it is submitted; for example, where it concerns an issue of sexual misconduct in relation to a passenger. The data retention period for the register has therefore been set to reflect the potential gravity of some revocations and refusals, and the need for this information to be shared. However, as set out later in this document and in the supporting policy at Annex D, any information to be shared between authorities outside of the register must be shared on a proportionate and time limited basis, in accordance with the authority's policy for doing so. If an authority did not take a case specific approach but chose to share all data over the full retention period, this would be likely to be disproportionate and therefore unlawful.

Authorities will need to ensure that their information governance policies are updated to make reference to the NR3 retention period, the associated retention period for supporting taxi licence data, and the rationale for it.

### 4.3. Historic data migration

The first step once subscription to the register has been completed is to populate the register with historic data of licence revocations and refusals. To do this, licensing authorities will need to submit historic data via CSV file to NAFN. NAFN have provided a standard template to use to submit data.

The majority of licensing authorities will use an electronic licensing system, and therefore will be able to obtain extracts from their licensing systems which can then be cut and pasted into the spreadsheet. However, if authorities use a manual system to issue licenses, they will need to manually fill out the spreadsheet.

In order to comply with data protection law, there must be a point beyond which historic data will not be uploaded. It is difficult to determine what that should be. However as the retention period for data on the register is 25 years, this appears to an appropriate period. Accordingly no historic data more than 25 years old should be uploaded to the register. It is important to note here that the 25-year data retention period begins at the point at which a licence was refused or revoked, rather than the date when the data was uploaded to the register.

Crucially, it is vital to ensure that any historic data which is uploaded by a licensing authority has not been retained in contravention of that authority's own retention policy. It is accepted that this may lead to differing ages of historic data being uploaded, but that is unavoidable to ensure compliance with data protection law.

Before any historic data is uploaded, the authority must write to those individuals who the data concerns stating that the data will be uploaded at a future date, which should be a

period of not less than 28 days. Individuals should be informed about the purposes of the data processing, the legal basis for it, and their various rights to object in regard to this.

Although the letters do not specifically need to invite representations about the proposal, any representations that are made in that period should be considered by the authority and data should only be uploaded where the authority feels that it is fair and appropriate to do so. This will not prevent historic data being uploaded, but will ensure that where data is held which may be uploaded, there is an opportunity for the authority to reconsider whether that is the correct action to take. A template letter for contacting former licence holders is attached at Annex C.

Once historic data has been submitted, any new revocations or refusals will need to be entered onto the NAFN register portal by a licensing officer as and when decisions are taken.

## **5. Updating licensing processes and procedures**

Using the register will necessitate some key changes to the way applications and renewals are processed and information recorded.

### **5.1. Informing applicants of the NR3 register**

Applicants must be informed of the existence of the NR3 register and that it will be consulted in connection with their application (and subsequent applications to renew licences).

They must also be informed that their personal data will be placed on the register if at any time their licence is revoked or renewal is refused.

Licensing authorities in receipt of applications must ensure that applicants are given the contact details of the data protection officer for the licensing authority, contact details for NAFN, and are advised of the fact that the information can be retained for up to 25 years (which is the retention period) and the fact they have a right to lodge a complaint with the Information Commissioner, together with the contact details for the Information Commissioner. It is suggested that this information is included in the privacy information provided to individuals when they apply for a licence. This is discussed further in 6.1.

### **5.2. Adding details of a refusal or revocation**

When an application for a licence is refused, or an existing licence is revoked, authorities will need to enter this information onto NR3. It will be important to ensure that authorities only enter refusals that have genuinely been considered and refused; NR3 is not intended to capture details of incomplete applications which an authority does not process. The key point is that a decision has been taken because there is evidence that an individual is not a fit and proper person to hold a licence.

Entering this information will be a simple and quick step, as only a limited amount of information will be added to the register: the individual's details; the date of the decision; the date it takes effect; and the decision taken – but not the reason for the decision.

Several authorities have reported that individuals who have had a licence revoked have previously moved very quickly to try to gain a licence elsewhere. It will therefore be important that authorities are prompt in adding the details of refusals or revocations to the register, so

that the information is available in the event that an individual does seek to secure a licence from another authority.

Authorities should ensure that they include the entries onto NR3 in their authority wide records of their data processing activities.

### 5.3. Checking the register as part of the application and renewal process

The second process change will relate to applications for hackney carriage/ PHV driver licences. Licensing authorities will also wish to check the register when they undertake licence renewals; firstly to confirm any historic information that may have been added in respect of one of their licensees, but also because it is possible that some drivers may hold more than one licence, and could therefore have one revoked in another area.<sup>4</sup>

This in itself is a two-stage process: stage one is the checking of the register; stage two is making a request to the authority that uploaded the information to the register for details of the revocation or refusal.

Once signed up to the register, licensing authorities will need to ensure that they check the details of new applicants on the register, to identify whether they have a previous licensing history (which may or may not have been disclosed on an application). Individual authorities will need to determine the appropriate point in their application process at which to check the register; however, it is suggested that this is done at a very early stage so that the authority can process the application with the knowledge of any previous history, if the applicant has one.

Guidance on using the register will set out the search parameters that authorities can use. It has been recognised throughout the process that individuals may use different names or provide different details to different authorities - perhaps in an attempt to avoid association with any previous issues – and searches should therefore take this into account.

If a search of the register does not indicate that an applicant has any previous history the authority should be aware of, then the authority should continue to process the application as normal. A negative search result will not, of course, mean that the applicant is a fit and proper person; that will be for the authority to assess in the usual way.

If a search does indicate a possible match on the register, then the authority will need to move on to stage two and seek further information. The register will indicate which authority has entered a possible match, and provide contact details for that authority.

It is suggested that an authority seeking information from another authority about an entry on the register should make a request in writing for the information on which the decision recorded in the register was based (a suggested form is included at the end of Annex D). Authorities are encouraged to respond to such requests as soon as possible, and ideally within 10 working days of receiving a request.

---

<sup>4</sup> The growth of app-based models and sub-contracting changes introduced by the Deregulation Act have both facilitated increased 'out of area' working, and may therefore make it less likely that in the future, under the existing framework, a driver would hold more than one licence.

The sharing between licensing authorities of this more detailed data - which may often involve the processing of special category personal data<sup>5</sup> - is not included within the data processing and data sharing agreements governing use of the register itself. Any authority which shares information in response to a request, and any authority which receives information having made a request, must have in place a clear and published policy which governs its approach to the circumstances in which it will share, receive and use information of this type. It must be recognised that information will not be shared following every request. The authority that receives the request must consider whether it is actually proportionate to share this information, and ensure that disclosures are not arbitrary. This must also be detailed in their policy document. Having such a policy is a requirement of data protection law, Article 8 of the European Convention on Human Rights and of public law.

If such a policy is in place which properly differentiates between circumstances, both authorities will be entitled to rely on processing conditions under Article 6(1)(e) and, in cases of special category data, Articles 9 and 10 GDPR<sup>6</sup>. Licensing authorities will need to satisfy themselves that they have followed the appropriate processes in sharing this more detailed data.

The authority that receives the request must consider what information, if any, to reveal to the requesting authority. This is not intended to undermine the effects of the register: it is essential to ensure that disclosures are compatible with the Data Protection Act, the General Data Protection Regulations, and the Human Rights Act. In making its decision the authority must consider the nature and seriousness of the conduct which led to the revocation or refusal to renew, and the time that has elapsed since the decision was made.

This will require not only a clear published policy, but also a decision-maker who has sufficient training and knowledge of the requirements to enable him/her to make an informed decision regarding disclosure.

It is suggested that where the time that has elapsed since the revocation or failure to renew exceeds the time limits relating to the particular conduct that are contained in the Institute of Licensing's "Guidance on Determining the Suitability of Applicants and Licensees in the Hackney and Private Hire Trades"<sup>7</sup>, serious consideration should be given as to whether or not the information should be revealed.

A suggested template policy is attached at Annex D.

To ensure compliance with article 30 of the GDPR, the authority must maintain a clear written record of every disclosure made following a search of the register. This should be a separate document, and it is not sufficient to simply mark an existing register of licences.

---

<sup>5</sup> Special category personal data is sensitive data that could reveal someone's racial or ethnic origin, political opinions, religious beliefs, trade union membership, and data concerning health or sex life.

<sup>6</sup> Found in Parts 1, 2 and 3 of the DPA 2018.

<sup>7</sup>

[https://cplresourcestorage.blob.core.windows.net/documents/226798\\_Guidance%20on%20Suitability%20ONLINE%20PDF%20\(2\).pdf?sv=2013-08-15&sr=b&sig=sLMffzDNvtMihhMQ2xu1vOIUEQbD1n05TTY%2BrDTv9UM%3D&st=2018-07-17T14%3A28%3A32Z&se=2018-07-17T14%3A34%3A32Z&sp=r](https://cplresourcestorage.blob.core.windows.net/documents/226798_Guidance%20on%20Suitability%20ONLINE%20PDF%20(2).pdf?sv=2013-08-15&sr=b&sig=sLMffzDNvtMihhMQ2xu1vOIUEQbD1n05TTY%2BrDTv9UM%3D&st=2018-07-17T14%3A28%3A32Z&se=2018-07-17T14%3A34%3A32Z&sp=r)

The document must include the fact that disclosure was made, but not specify the contents of that disclosure.

#### 5.4. Acting on detailed disclosures

The licensing authority that receives a disclosure under stage two must then act upon it. As detailed above, the information may warrant significant weight being attached to it, but it is vital authorities do not use evidence of a previous refusal or revocation as the sole basis for their current decision.

To ensure compliance with article 30 of the GDPR, the authority must maintain a clear written record of the action that is taken following the receipt of information from the register. This should be a separate document, and it is not sufficient to simply mark an existing register of licences.

### 6. Complying with data protection requirements

Licensing authorities will need to ensure that any individuals whose data is uploaded or entered onto NR3 is made aware of this: it is a legal requirement that data subjects must be made aware of the collection, storage and use of their personal data via a privacy notice.

In relation to NR3, the following details must be included in a privacy notice:

- The name and contact details of the licensing authority.
- The contact details of the authority's data protection officer.
- The purpose of the processing.
- The lawful basis for the processing.
- The recipients or categories of recipients of the personal data.
- The retention periods for the personal data.
- The rights available to individuals in respect of the processing.
- The right to lodge a complaint with a supervisory authority.

For current licensees or applicants, authorities should ensure that information about NR3 is included in:

- licensing policies
- application forms
- correspondence to named individuals that confirms that a licence has been revoked, or that an application for a licence has been refused.

These should fulfil the requirements for privacy notices, and suggested wording is provided in Annexes A and B.

Authorities will also need to ensure that they inform individuals in respect of whom a historic decision has been entered onto the register. Again, this correspondence should fulfil the legal requirements for privacy notices, and the LGA has developed a template letter that licensing authorities may wish to use for this purpose (Annex C).



Individuals whose details are contained on the register may submit a 'subject access request' (SAR) seeking copies of their details from the register at any point. **Full details of the process, mechanism and suggested point of contact for submitting a SAR must therefore be included within each local authority's policy, and also contained within application forms and supporting documentation when a licence is issued.** Should a SAR be received by an individual licensing authority, it should be dealt with as per the relevant authority's process. Licensing authorities, as the data controller, will need to liaise with NAFN, as the data processor, to fulfil SARs.

Licensing authorities will need to ensure that anyone whose details are included on NR3 is aware of their rights in relation to their data. In addition to the right to being informed, under the Data Protection Act, data subjects may have other rights in relation to the processing of their data. Various of these rights will apply in relation to the NR3, including: the right to object, the right to request access to data; the right to rectification or erasure of data, and the right to restrict processing of data.

It is important to note that although data subjects have the right to make these requests, the licensing authority does not have to agree to them. The fact that NR3 has been deemed necessary for the performance of a task carried out in the public interest or in the exercise of official authority vested in the controller makes it very unlikely that an authority would agree to these rights, other than the rights to access individual data on the NR3 and the rectification of data where an error has been made. However, authorities will need to respond to these requests within thirty days, setting out their decision.

### 6.1. Updating the licensing policy, application forms and guidance

Licensing authorities will therefore need to update their hackney carriage / PHV licensing policies to reflect the use of the register and the new processes arising from it, including that relevant information on the register will in future be part of the process for assessing licence applications and whether an individual is a fit and proper person.

Authorities will similarly need to update their application forms and related paperwork (such as guidance notes) to make it clear that:

- all applicants will have their details checked against the register, and any relevant information taken into account in assessing the application
- where an application is refused, or where a licence is granted but subsequently revoked, this information will be entered into the register.

These statements should provide assurance that this information will be processed in accordance with the DPA and GDPR. Suggested forms of words are included at Annex A.

Where an authority decides to refuse or revoke a hackney carriage / PHV licence (the first authority), the decision notice should refer to the authority's earlier notification in guidance and on application forms that the decision will be entered onto NR3. It should also make clear that if the individual makes an application to another licensing authority (the second authority) for a drivers' licence at a later date, the second authority will check the register, and the details of the refusal or revocation may be provided to them by the first authority, in line with their policy for disclosing information.

## 6.2. Making existing licensees aware

As well as new applicants, you will also need to make existing licensees aware of the fact that the authority has signed up to the register, and that if their licence is subsequently revoked or not renewed, this will be recorded. A suggested form of words is included at Annex B.

## Annex A – guidance on amendments to policies and forms

Authorities will need to update their application forms and related paperwork (such as guidance notes) to make it clear that:

- all applicants will have their details checked against the register, and any relevant information taken into account in assessing the application
- where an application is refused, or where a licence is granted but subsequently revoked, this information will be entered into the register.

The statements included in forms and guidance should provide assurance that this information will be processed in accordance with the DPA and GDPR. Critically, it should also make clear that there is a lawful basis for processing the data, which is necessary for the performance of a task carried out in the public interest or in the exercise of official authority vested in the controller – that is, assessing whether an individual is a fit and proper person to hold a hackney carriage or PHV licence.

Where an authority decides to refuse or revoke a hackney carriage / PHV licence, the decision notice should refer to the authority's earlier notification in guidance and on application forms that the decision will now be entered onto the national register.

### **I. Suggested form of additional wording for licensing policy document and application paperwork**

The licensing authority provides information to the National Register of Taxi Licence Refusals and Revocations (NR3), a mechanism for licensing authorities to share details of individuals who have had a hackney carriage or Private Hire Vehicle (PHV) licence revoked, or an application for one refused. This is necessary for the performance of a task carried out in the public interest or in the exercise of official authority vested in the licensing authority – that is, assessing whether an individual is a fit and proper person to hold a hackney carriage or PHV licence

Therefore:

- Where a hackney carriage/ PHV licence is revoked, or an application for one refused, the authority will automatically record this decision on NR3.
- All applications for a new licence or licence renewal will automatically be checked on NR3. If a search of NR3 indicates a match with an applicant, the authority will seek further information about the entry on the register from the authority which recorded it. Any information received as a result of an NR3 search will only be used in respect of the specific license application and will not be retained beyond the determination of that application.

The information recorded on NR3 itself will be limited to:

- name
- date of birth
- address and contact details
- national insurance number
- driving licence number
- decision taken

- date of decision
- date decision effective

Information will be retained on NR3 for a period of 25 years.

This is a mandatory part of [applying for] [being granted], a hackney carriage / PHV driver licence. The authority has a published policy on the approach it will take to requests by other authorities for further information about entries on NR3, and about the use it will make of any further information provided to it. You can read that policy at [link / set out separately].

Information will be processed in accordance with the Data Protection Act (DPA) and General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). Any searches, provision or receipt of information of or under NR3 are necessary to the authority's statutory licensing functions of ensuring that all drivers are fit and proper to hold the applicable licence. It is not intended that any NR3 data will be transferred out of the United Kingdom.

If you wish to raise any issue related to the data protection legislation, including by relying on any of the rights afforded to data subjects under the GDPR, you can do so to the authority's Data Protection Officer at [contact details]. This includes submitting a subject access request.

You always have the right to make a complaint to the Information Commissioner's Office (ICO). Advice on how to raise a concern about handling of data can be found on the ICO's website: <https://ico.org.uk/make-a-complaint/>

## **II. Suggested form of additional wording for decision letter concerning refusal of an application**

In accordance with [insert appropriate reference to policy document or application paperwork explaining membership and implications of NR3], the decision to refuse your application will be entered onto the National Register of Taxi Licence Refusals and Revocations (NR3). The information entered onto NR3 will be limited to you:

- name
- date of birth
- address and contact details
- national insurance number
- driving licence number
- decision taken (but not the reason for it)
- date of decision
- the date the decision took effect.

This information will be processed and shared in accordance with the Data Protection Act (DPA) and General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), and is necessary for the performance of a task carried out in the public interest or in the exercise of official authority vested in the licensing authority – that is, assessing whether an individual is a fit and proper person to hold a hackney carriage or PHV licence.

Recording this information on NR3 does not mean that you will be automatically prevented from securing a licence in future, but is intended simply to ensure that licensing authorities

are able to access your full licensing history should you make further licence applications elsewhere. Information will be held on the register for 25 years. If during that time another authority requests further details relating to this decision because you have applied to it for a licence, we may provide our reasons for the refusal of this application, in accordance with our policy at [details/link].

You have various rights in relation to your data: the right to request access to your data; the right to rectification or erasure of your data; the right to restrict processing of your data, and the right to object to the processing of your data for this purpose. The authority will consider any such requests and respond within one month.

If you wish to raise any issue related to the data protection legislation, including by relying on any of the rights afforded to data subjects under the GDPR, you can do so to the authority's Data Protection Officer at [contact details]. This includes submitting a subject access request.

You always have the right to make a complaint to the Information Commissioner's Office.

### **III. Suggested form of additional wording for decision letter concerning revocation**

In accordance with [insert appropriate reference to policy document / application paperwork / letter to existing licence holders explaining membership and implications of NR3], the decision to revoke your licence will be entered onto the National Register of Refusals and Revocations (NR3). The information entered onto NR3 will be limited to your:

- name
- date of birth
- address and contact details
- national insurance number
- driving licence number
- decision taken (but not the reason for it)
- date of decision
- the date the decision took effect.

This information will be processed and shared in accordance with the Data Protection Act (DPA) and General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), and is necessary for the performance of a task carried out in the public interest or in the exercise of official authority vested in the licensing authority – that is, assessing whether an individual is a fit and proper person to hold a hackney carriage or PHV licence.

Recording this information on NR3 does not mean that you will be automatically prevented from securing a licence, but is intended simply to ensure that licensing authorities are able to access your full licensing history should you make further licence applications elsewhere. Information will be held on the register for 25 years. If during that time another authority requests further details relating to this decision because you have applied to it for a licence, we will provide our reasons for the revocation of this licence in accordance with our policy at [details/link].

You have various rights in relation to your data: the right to request access to your data; the right to rectification or erasure of your data; the right to restrict processing of your data, and

the right to object to the processing of your data for this purpose. The authority will consider any such requests and respond within one month.

If you wish to raise any issue related to the data protection legislation, including by relying on any of the rights afforded to data subjects under the GDPR, you can do so to the authority's Data Protection Officer at [contact details]. This includes submitting a subject access request.

You always have the right to make a complaint to the Information Commissioner's Office.

## Annex B – suggested notification to existing licensees of NR3

To all hackney carriage / PHV / dual [combined] licence holders

Dear licensee

National Register of Taxi Licence Refusals and Revocations

I am writing to make you aware of a new initiative which X authority is involved in to help strengthen hackney carriage/ Private Hire Vehicle (PHV) licensing for the benefit of both passengers and responsible hackney carriage and PHV drivers.

As you may be aware, the Local Government Association (LGA), the representative body for local councils, has commissioned a new National Register of Taxi Licence Refusals and Revocations (NR3). The register will be hosted by the National Anti-Fraud Network (NAFN). The intention of this is to prevent drivers who have had a hackney carriage or PHV licence revoked or an application for one refused, going to another authority to dishonestly secure a licence by failing to disclose their previous licensing history.

Instances of drivers doing this in the past have undermined public confidence in the hackney carriage and PHV trade and licensing authorities. The purpose of the NR3 initiative is therefore to provide a mechanism for licensing authorities to share details of individuals who have had a hackney carriage or PHV licence revoked or an application for one refused. The development of NR3 has been welcomed by all sections of the taxi trade, safety groups and charities, the Department for Transport and by licensing authorities.

From [insert date], this authority will begin using the NR3. This means that from that point:

- Applications for new hackney carriage/PHV licences and for renewals will be checked on the NR3.
- Where an existing licence is revoked or an application for renewal or a new licence is refused, this will be recorded on NR3.

This authority, and other licensing authorities, will also be adding historic information on refusals and revocations of licences to the register. Historic data will not go back beyond the retention period of 25 years. Any relevant data entered onto NR3 which relates to existing licence holders may be considered as part of future renewal processes.

The information recorded on NR3 will be limited to your:

- name
- date of birth
- address and contact details
- national insurance number
- driving licence number
- decision taken
- the date of the decision
- the date decision was effective

Information will be retained on NR3 for 25 years.

Where an applicant's details are flagged on NR3 during a search, this will be followed up separately between the authorities. Any such request in relation to your record will be responded to in accordance with the authority's published policy at [details/link]. Licensing authorities will still be required to consider each application on its own merits, but the introduction of NR3 will help ensure that they are able to do so on the basis of all the information that is relevant to an application.

All data processing and sharing undertaken by this authority on the NR3, and with individual authorities in regard to entries on the NR3 will be undertaken in accordance with the Data Protection Act (DPA) and the General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR). The legal basis for processing this information is that it is necessary for the performance of a task carried out in the public interest or in the exercise of official authority vested in the licensing authority – that is, assessing whether an individual is a fit and proper person to hold a hackney carriage or PHV licence. It is not intended that any NR3 data will be transferred out of the United Kingdom.

If you wish to raise any issue related to the data protection legislation, including by relying on any of the rights afforded to data subjects under the GDPR, you can do so to the authority's Data Protection Officer at [contact details].

You always have the right to make a complaint to the Information Commissioner's Office.

Yours sincerely

Licensing authority

## **Frequently asked questions**

### ***Why has the register been set up?***

NR3 has been developed to improve public safety and confidence in hackney carriage and PHV licensing. There have been numerous high profile cases where drivers who have been refused licences or had a licence revoked in one area have gone to another area and received a licence in that area by failing to disclose their previous history. This undermines public safety, if there are legitimate reasons why a licence was refused or revoked, and damages confidence in the hackney carriage / PHV licensing regime and trade. This is why the initiative has been widely supported by reputable drivers and firms, as it will provide a mechanism for ensuring information about refusals and revocations can be shared between all licensing authorities in a safe and secure way, removing this potential loophole.

### ***How will the register work – what information will be recorded?***

When an authority revokes a licence, or refuses an application for one, it will record this information on NR3. The information recorded will be limited to:

- name
- date of birth
- address and contact details
- national insurance number



- driving licence number
- decision taken
- date of decision
- date decision effective

Licensing authorities will then search the register when they are processing new applications or renewals. Where an authority finds a match for their applicant on NR3, it will contact the licensing authority that recorded the entry to seek more information, which, if shared, will then be used to help reach a decision on the application.

***Will I automatically be refused a licence if I am on the register?***

No. Licensing authorities are legally required to consider each licence application on its own merits, and cannot refuse an application simply because an applicant may be recorded on NR3. The purpose of NR3 is to ensure that authorities have the full information necessary to help them reach a decision on whether an individual is fit and proper. If circumstances have materially changed since the decision that has been recorded on NR3, it may be appropriate for another authority to award a licence.

***What if my licence is suspended?***

Suspensions of licences will not be recorded on NR3. This is because suspension should be used as either a short-term punishment or to overcome a short-term situation (e.g. driving or medical issues). Where a driver is no longer considered to be a fit and proper person to hold a licence, the licence should be revoked.

***Can I find out if my details are on the NR3?***

Individuals whose details are added to NR3 will be notified of this at the point at which they are advised of the decision to refuse or revoke a licence.

Outside of these times, an individual can make a subject access request (SAR) for any of their personal data held on NR3. The 'data controller' in respect of this data is this licensing authority, to whom a SAR should be submitted in the first instance. As the 'data processor', that is the organisation storing the data, the National Anti-Fraud Network will fulfil this request. Similarly, the exercise of any other rights provided under data protection legislation should be made to this authority in the first instance.

***How long will details be held on NR3 for?***

Data will be retained on the register to help licensing authorities fulfil their statutory duty to be satisfied that a person is a fit and proper person to hold a taxi or PHV licence. These duties are set out under sections 51, 59 and 61 of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976; sections 13, 16 and 17 of the Private Hire Vehicles (London) Act 1998; section 3 of the Private Hire Vehicles (London PHV Driver's Licences) Regulations 2003; sections 25 and 30 of the London Cab Order 1934; sections 9 and 19 of the Plymouth City Council Act 1975 and section 46 of the Town Police Clauses Act 1847. In accordance with this purpose, data will remain on NR3 for 25 years.

## Annex C - suggested notification to former licence holders or applicants whose details will be entered onto NR3

Dear XXX

National Register of Refusals and Revocations

I am writing to make you aware of a new initiative which X authority is involved in to help strengthen hackney carriage / Private Hire Vehicle (PHV) licensing for the benefit of both passengers and responsible hackney carriage and PHV drivers.

The Local Government Association (LGA), the representative body for local councils, has commissioned a new National Register of Refusals and Revocations (NR3). The register will be hosted by the National Anti-Fraud Network (NAFN). The intention of this is to prevent drivers who have had a hackney carriage or PHV licence revoked or an application for one refused, going to another authority to dishonestly secure a licence by failing to disclose their previous licensing history.

Instances of drivers doing this in the past have undermined public confidence in both the hackney carriage and PHV trade and licensing authorities. The purpose of the NR3 initiative is therefore to provide a mechanism for licensing authorities to share details of individuals who have had a taxi or PHV licence revoked or an application for one refused. The development of NR3 has been welcomed by all sections of the hackney carriage and PHV trade, safety groups and charities, the Department for Transport and by licensing authorities.

From [insert date], this authority will begin using the NR3. This means that from that point:

- applications for new hackney carriage/PHV licences and for renewals will be checked on the NR3
- where an existing licence is revoked, or an application for renewal or a new licence is refused, this will be recorded on NR3.

This authority, and other licensing authorities, will also be adding historic information on refusals and revocations of licences to the register. Historic data will not go back beyond the retention period of [25 years / the authority's own data retention period]. As part of this process, it is intended that the details of your previous [licence revocation / refusal] will be added to the register in 28 days' time.

The information recorded on NR3 in respect of your case is limited to:

- name
- date of birth
- address and contact details
- national insurance number
- driving licence number
- decision taken
- date of decision
- date decision effective.

Information will be retained on NR3 for 25 years.

Where an applicant's details are flagged on NR3 during a search, this will be followed up separately between the authorities. Any such request in relation to your record will be responded to in accordance with the authority's published policy at [details/link].

Licensing authorities will still be required to consider each application on its own merits, but the introduction of NR3 will help ensure that they are able to do so on the basis of all the information that is relevant to an application.

All data processing and sharing undertaken by this authority on the NR3, and with individual authorities in regard to entries on the NR3 will be undertaken in accordance with the Data Protection Act (DPA) and the General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR). The legal basis for processing this information is that it is necessary for the performance of a task carried out in the public interest or in the exercise of official authority vested in the licensing authority – that is, assessing whether an individual is a fit and proper person to hold a hackney carriage or PHV licence. It is not intended that any NR3 data will be transferred out of the United Kingdom.

You have various rights in relation to your data: the right to request access to your data; the right to rectification or erasure of your data; the right to restrict processing of your data, and the right to object to the processing of your data for this purpose. The authority will consider any such requests and respond within one month.

If you wish to raise any issue related to the data protection legislation, including by relying on any of the rights afforded to data subjects under the GDPR, you can do so to the authority's Data Protection Officer at [contact details]. This includes submitting a subject access request.

You always have the right to make a complaint to the Information Commissioner's Office.

Yours sincerely

Licensing authority

## **Frequently asked questions**

### ***Why has the register been set up?***

NR3 has been developed to improve public safety and confidence in taxi and PHV licensing. There have been numerous high profile cases where drivers who have been refused licences or had a licence revoked in one area have gone to another area and received a licence in another area by failing to disclose their previous history. This undermines public safety, if there are legitimate reasons why a licence was refused or revoked, and damages confidence in the hackney carriage / PHV licensing regime and trade. This is why the initiative has been widely supported by reputable drivers and firms, as it will provide a mechanism for ensuring information about refusals and revocations can be shared between all licensing authorities in a safe and secure way, removing this potential loophole.

### ***How will the register work – what information will be recorded?***

When an authority revokes a licence, or refuses an application for one, it will record this information on NR3. The information recorded will be limited to:

- name
- date of birth
- address and contact details
- national insurance number
- driving licence number
- decision taken
- date of decision
- date decision effective.

Licensing authorities will then search the register when they are processing new applications or renewals. Where an authority finds a match for their applicant on NR3, it will contact the licensing authority that recorded the entry to seek more information, which, if shared, will then be used to help reach a decision on the application.

***Will I automatically be refused a licence if I am on the register?***

No. Licensing authorities are legally required to consider each licence application on its own merits, and cannot refuse an application simply because an applicant may be recorded on NR3. The purpose of NR3 is to ensure that authorities have the full information necessary to help them reach a decision on whether an individual is fit and proper. If circumstances have materially changed since the decision that has been recorded on NR3, it may be appropriate for another authority to award a licence.

***Can I find out if my details are on the NR3?***

Individuals whose details are added to NR3 will be notified of this at the point at which they are advised of the decision to refuse or revoke a licence.

Outside of these times, an individual can make a subject access request (SAR) for any of their personal data held on NR3. The 'data controller' in respect of this data is this licensing authority, to whom a SAR should be submitted in the first instance. As the 'data processor', that is the organization storing the data, the National Anti-Fraud Network will fulfil this request. Similarly, the exercise of any other rights provided under data protection legislation should be made to this authority in writing in the first instance.

***How long will details be held on NR3 for?***

Data will be retained on the register to help licensing authorities fulfil their statutory duty to be satisfied that a person is a fit and proper person to hold a taxi or PHV licence. These duties are set out under sections 51, 59 and 61 of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976; sections 13, 16 and 17 of the Private Hire Vehicles (London) Act 1998; section 3 of the Private Hire Vehicles (London PHV Driver's Licences) Regulations 2003; sections 25 and 30 of the London Cab Order 1934; sections 9 and 19 of the Plymouth City Council Act 1975 and section 46 of the Town Police Clauses Act 1847. In accordance with this purpose, data will remain on NR3 for 25 years.

## Annex D – Suggested template policy relating to requests for information, disclosure of information, and use of information as a result of an entry on NR3

Once an authority has signed up to the NR3, it is able to search the register when an application is received for a new drivers licence, or to renew an existing drivers licence. In this annex, the searching authority is referred to as ‘the second authority.’

If a match is found, then a request can be made to the authority that entered the information onto the NR3 (in this annex, this authority is referred to as ‘the first authority’) asking for more details of the revocation or refusal of a drivers’ licence by the first authority.

The first authority can then provide information to the second authority, enabling the second authority to take the earlier action into account and make an informed decision as to whether or not the licence should be granted or renewed.

To comply with the Data Protection Act, the General Data Protection Regulations, and the Human Rights Act, it is essential that the first authority which provides information about entries on the NR3 register, and the second authority that requests and receives such information, have a clear policy detailing how and when such information will be requested, provided, and how any information provided can then be used.

This is a suggested policy to address those situations. As each authority that signs up to the NR3 may at some point be both the first authority and the second authority for the purposes of this policy, the policy is drafted as a chronological progression through the process an authority may work through as either the first or second authority.

### Policy for **Council/TfL** in respect of requests for information, disclosure of information, and use of information as a result of an entry on NR3

In this policy, the ‘first authority’ refers to a licensing authority which made a specific entry onto the National Register of Refusals and Revocations; the ‘second authority’ refers to a licensing authority which is seeking more detailed information about the entry.

#### **I. Overarching principles**

This policy covers the use that this authority **Council/TfL** will make of the ability to access and use information contained on the National Register of Taxi Licence Revocations and Refusals (NR3). The NR3 contains information relating to any refusal to grant, or revocation of, a taxi drivers’ licence<sup>8</sup>. This information is important in the context of a subsequent application to another authority for a drivers’ licence by a person who has had their licence refused or revoked in the past.

This authority **Council/TfL** has signed up to the NR3. This means that when an application for a taxi drivers’ licence is refused, or when an existing taxi drivers’ licence is revoked, that information will be placed upon the register.

---

<sup>8</sup> Throughout this policy reference is made to ‘taxi drivers licence.’ This generic term covers a hackney carriage drivers licence, a private hire drivers licence and a combined/dual licence.

When an application for a new drivers' licence, or renewal of an existing drivers' licence is received, this authority [Council/TfL] will make a search of the NR3. The search will only be made by an officer who has been trained in the use of the NR3 and who is acting in accordance with this policy. If details are found that appear to relate to the applicant, a request will be made to the authority that entered that information for further details.

Any information that is received from any other authority in relation to an application will only be used in relation to that application, and the determination of it, and will not be used for any other purpose. Any data that is received will only be kept for as long as is necessary in relation to the determination of that application. This will include the period of processing that application, making a decision, notifying the applicant of the outcome of that decision, and the appeal processes.

For the avoidance of doubt, any such data will be kept for a period of no more than 35 days from the date of the service of the written notification of the determination of the application<sup>9</sup>.

Where an appeal to the magistrates' court is made, the data will be retained until that appeal is determined or abandoned. Where the appeal is determined by the magistrates' court, there is a further right of appeal to the Crown Court. In these circumstances, the data will be retained for a period of no more than 35 days from the date of the decision of the magistrates' court. If an appeal is made to the Crown Court, the data will be retained until that appeal is determined or abandoned. Where the appeal is determined by the magistrates' court or the Crown Court, it is possible to appeal the decision by way of case stated<sup>10</sup>. Accordingly, the data will be retained for a period of no more than 35 days from the date of the decision of the Crown Court (if the decision was made by the magistrates' court, the retention period has already been addressed). If an appeal by way of case stated is made, the data will be retained until all court proceedings relating to that appeal by way of case stated (which will include potential appeals to the Court of Appeal and Supreme Court) have been determined<sup>11</sup>.

The data will be held securely in accordance with this authority's [Council/TfL] general policy on the secure retention of personal data [which is available at...]. At the end of the retention period, the data will be erased and/or destroyed in accordance with this authority's [Council/TfL] general policy on the erasure and destruction of personal data (which is available at....).

---

<sup>9</sup> The appeal period is 21 days from the date on which the written notification of the decision was received by the applicant/licensee. An appeal must be lodged within that time period, and no extension of that period is permissible (see *Stockton-on-Tees Borough Council v Latif* [2009] LLR 374). However, to ensure that the information is available if an appeal is lodged and there is a dispute over time periods, a period of 35 days is specified.

<sup>10</sup> Any appeal by way of case stated must be lodged within 21 days of the decision of either the magistrates court or the Crown Court (see The Criminal Procedure Rules R35.2). To ensure that the information is available if an appeal is lodged by way of case stated and there is a dispute over time periods, a period of 35 days is specified.

<sup>11</sup> Decisions of the local authority, magistrates' Court and Crown Court are also susceptible to judicial review. Generally any right of appeal should be exercised in preference to judicial review, but there are occasions when leave has been granted for judicial review in the circumstances. Any application for judicial review must be made "promptly; and in any event not later than 3 months after the grounds to make the claim first arose" (see The Civil Procedure Rules R54.5). If an application for judicial review is made after any relevant data has been destroyed, this authority will request the information again and then retain that information until all court proceedings relating to that judicial review (which will include potential appeals to the Court of Appeal and Supreme Court) have been determined.

## II. Making a request for further information regarding an entry on NR3<sup>12</sup>

When an application is made to this authority [Council/TfL] for the grant of a new, or renewal of, a taxi driver's licence, this authority [Council/TfL] will check the NR3.

This authority [Council/TfL] will make and then retain a clear written record<sup>13</sup> of every search that is made of the register. This will detail:

- the date of the search;
- the name or names searched;
- the reason for the search (new application or renewal);
- the results of the search; and
- the use made of the results of the search (this information will be entered to the register at a later date).

This record will not be combined with any other records (i.e. combined with a register of licences granted) and will be retained for the retention period of 25 years.

If this authority [Council/TfL] discovers any match (i.e. there is an entry in the register for the same name and identifying details) a request will be made to the authority that entered those details (the first authority) for further information about that entry. That request will also include details of this authority's [Council/TfL] data protection policy in relation to the use of any data that is obtained as a result of this process.

This request will be made in writing in accordance with the form at appendix 1 of this policy. It will be posted or emailed to the contact address of the authority that entered those details (the first authority) which will be detailed in the register.

## III. Responding to a request made for further information regarding an entry on NR3<sup>14</sup>

When this authority [Council/TfL] receives a request for further information from another authority a clear written record will be made of the request having been received. This record will not be combined with any other records (i.e. combined with a register of licences granted) and will be retained for the retention period of 25 years<sup>15</sup>.

This authority [Council/TfL] will then determine how to respond to the request. It is not lawful to simply provide information as a blanket response to every request.

This authority [Council/TfL] will conduct a Data Protection Impact Assessment. This will consider how the other authority (the second authority) will use the data, how it will store that data to prevent unauthorised disclosure, the retention period for that data, and the mechanism for erasure or destruction of the data at the end of that period. It is expected that

---

<sup>12</sup> This section of the template policy relates to the submission of a request by the second authority.

<sup>13</sup> This can be electronic, rather than "pen and paper" hard copy.

<sup>14</sup> This section of the template policy relates to the handling by the first authority of a request for information by the second authority.

<sup>15</sup> This record can be combined with the written record of the action taken as a result of the request.

if the second authority has adopted a policy similar to this, that should be a reasonably straightforward process.

If this authority [Council/TfL] is satisfied that the other authority's (the 2<sup>nd</sup> authority) data protection procedures are satisfactory, consideration will then be given as to what information will be disclosed<sup>16</sup>. This will be determined by an officer who has been trained to discharge this function.

Any disclosure must be considered and proportionate, taking into account the data subjects' rights and the position and responsibilities of a taxi driver. Data is held on the NR3 register for a period of 25 years, but this authority [Council/TfL] (the 1<sup>st</sup> authority) will not disclose information relating to every entry. Each application will be considered on its own merits.

This authority [Council/TfL] will disclose information relating to a revocation or refusal to grant a drivers' licence in accordance with the timescales contained within the Institute of Licensing's "*Guidance on Determining the Suitability of Applicants and Licensees in the Hackney and Private Hire Trades*"<sup>17</sup> [or own policy if this differs]. Where the reason for refusal to grant or revocation relates to a conviction (or similar as defined in the IoL guidance) which is within the timescales determined in those guidelines, the information will be disclosed. Where the reason for refusal to grant or revocation relates to a conviction (or similar as defined in the IoL guidance) which is outside the timescales determined in those guidelines, the information will not be disclosed. However, in every case, consideration will be given to the full circumstances of the decision and there may be occasions where information is provided other than in accordance with this policy.

Any information about convictions will be shared in accordance with this policy under part 2 of scheduled 1 to the Data Protection Act (DPA) 2018; that is, the processing is necessary for reasons of substantial public interest in connection with the exercise of a function conferred on the authority by an enactment or rule of law.

The officer will record what action was taken and why. This authority [Council/TfL] will make and then retain a clear written record<sup>18</sup> of every decision that is made as a result of a request from another authority. This will detail:

- the date the request was received
- how the data protection impact assessment was conducted and its conclusions
- the name or names searched
- whether any information was provided
- if information was provided, why it was provided (and details of any further advice obtained before the decision was made)
- if information was not provided, why it was not provided (and details of any further advice obtained before the decision was made) and
- how and when the decision (and any information) was communicated to the requesting authority.

---

<sup>16</sup> If the 1<sup>st</sup> authority is not satisfied that the 2<sup>nd</sup> authority's data protection policy is satisfactory, no disclosure can be made. In such circumstances it is essential that discussion takes place as a matter of urgency between the data protection officers of the 1<sup>st</sup> authority and the 2<sup>nd</sup> authority.

<sup>17</sup> Available at

<https://www.instituteoflicensing.org/NewsJobsArticle.aspx?NewsID=11318&NewsOrJob=news>

<sup>18</sup> This can be electronic, rather than "pen and paper" hard copy.



This record will not be combined with any other records (i.e. combined with a register of licences granted) and will be retained for the retention period of 25 years.

#### IV. Using any information obtained as a result of a request to another authority

When this authority [Council/TfL] receives information as a result of a request that has been made to another authority, it will take that information into account when determining the application for the grant or renewal of a taxi drivers' licence. This will be in accordance with the usual process for determining applications [insert reference to the [Council/TfL]'s policy for determining applications].

This authority [Council/TfL] will make and then retain a clear written record of the use that is made of the results of the search (this information will be added to the register detailed above).

Information that is received may warrant significant weight being attached to it, but it will not be the sole basis for any decision that this authority [Council/TfL] will make in relation to the application.

### Appendix 1 - information disclosure form

This form is submitted following a search of the National Register of Refusals and Revocations (NR3).

*(For completion by requestor authority)*

Name of licensing authority requesting information: .....

Requestor authority reference number: .....

Name of licensing authority from which information is sought: .....

Name of individual in respect of whom the request is made: .....

Decision in respect of which the request is made: Refusal / revocation

Other details for this record: .....

Address: .....

Driving licence #: .....

NI #: .....

Reference number: .....

Declaration by requesting authority:

*The authority hereby confirms that this information is being sought in connection with the exercising of its statutory function to ensure that holders of taxi / PHV licences are fit and*

*proper persons, and that the processing of this data is therefore necessary in the performance of a task carried out in the public interest.*

*The information provided below will only be processed, used and saved by the authority in connection with this particular application and in accordance with all relevant data and privacy requirements, as previously advised by the authority to applicants for and existing holders of taxi and PHV licences, and will be retained in accordance with the Authority's retention policy relating to the provision of such information.*

*To enable the authority to conduct a data protection impact assessment, details of this authority's policy in relation to the use of information obtained as a result of this request is attached to this document/can be accessed at ??.*

Signed:  
Name: .....  
Position: .....  
Date.....

*(For completion by providing authority)*

Further information to support the decision recorded on NR3 in respect of the above named individual

Declaration by providing authority

*The authority hereby confirms that it has conducted a data protection impact assessment.*

*It also confirms that the information above is accurate, and has been provided after thorough consideration by the authority as to the proportionality and lawfulness of making this disclosure. The information reflects the basis on which the decision recorded in the National Register of Refusals and Revocations was made. In the event that the authority becomes aware that this information is no longer accurate, we will advise the above named authority accordingly.*

*The authority also confirms that, as part of the basis for securing, retaining or applying for a taxi / PHV licence, the above named individual has been made aware of to the fact that this information will be shared, in accordance with all relevant data and privacy requirements*

Signed:  
Name: .....  
Position: .....  
Date: .....

**DEPARTMENT: ENVIRONMENT AND LEISURE**

**ORIGINATING SECTION : PUBLIC PROTECTION SERVICE**

**REPORT TO : LICENSING COMMITTEE**

**30:10:18**

**TITLE : Adoption of the Institute of Licensing “Guidance on determining the suitability of applicants and licensees in the hackney and private hire trades”**

## **1. PURPOSE**

To inform members of the Institute of Licensing “Guidance on determining the suitability of applicants and licensees in the hackney and private hire trades” with a view to adopting its use in Blackburn with Darwen Borough Council.

## **2. RECOMMENDATIONS**

That members note the report and direct officers to consult on the necessary amendments to Policy for the adoption of the Institute of Licensing “Guidance on determining the suitability of applicants and licensees in the hackney and private hire trades”.

## **3. KEY ISSUES**

The guidance has been produced by the Institute of Licensing (IOL) working in partnership with the Local Government Association (LGA), Lawyers in Local Government and the National Association of Licensing and Enforcement Officers. It was produced in April 2018 following widespread consultation - Blackburn with Darwen contributed to the consultation.

The guidance, attached as Appendix 1, gives an overview of offenders and offending and the risks of reoffending. It also gives an overview of taxi licensing focusing on drivers, operators and vehicle proprietors. It states that there is a need for each of the three to have been deemed fit and proper to hold licences.

The main impact of adopting the good practice as detailed in the Guidance is the introduction of the requirement for Basic DBS disclosures for operators and vehicle proprietors. Consultation would take place with members of the trade who would be affected by any change to current policy.

## **4. RATIONALE**

The overriding aim of Licensing Authorities when carrying out its functions relating to licensing of hackney and private hire drivers, operators and vehicles must be the protection of the public and others who use hackney carriage and private hire services.

The authority must satisfy itself that applicants and licensees are fit and proper to hold a licence. This involves the committee making decisions after examining in detail their entire character in order to make a judgement as to their fitness and propriety.

The IOL document is intended to provide guidance on determining this

suitability. With a view to improve transparency and consistency on licensing decisions the Institute of Licensing have recommended that the Guidance be incorporated into Licensing Authority policies and procedures.

Blackburn with Darwen Borough Council carried out a major review its Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Licensing Policy in 2017. The current policy is published on the Council's website

<http://www.blackburn.gov.uk/Taxi%20licences/HC-and-PH-Policy.pdf>

This review brought the policy up to date at that time, therefore, there are relatively few additional changes needed to bring policy in line with the IOL Guidance. The main changes proposed are the introduction of Basic DBS Disclosure checks for applicants for Private Hire Operators and Vehicle Proprietors Licences.

Other areas of change are the number of years free from certain convictions applicants for licences would normally be expected to be before a licence would be issued. The proposed changes are as follows:

Offence type	Current	Change to
Exploitation	Not separately listed	Never issue a licence
Violence	3, 5 or 10 years depending on offence	10 years
Possession of weapon	5 or 7 years depending on offence	7 years
Dishonesty	3 or 5 years depending on offence	7 years
Discrimination	Not separately listed	7 years
Motoring - 7 points + or a major traffic offence	2 to 5 years depending on offence	5 years
Drink/drug driving	2 to 5 years depending on offence	7 years
Use of mobile phone whilst driving	1 year	5 years

For other offence types Blackburn with Darwen Borough Council's current policy is in line with the IOL Guidance.

## 5. POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Blackburn with Darwen Borough Council's Taxi Licensing Policy will need to be up dated to reflect changes brought about by the adoption of the Institute of Licensing Guidance on Determining the Suitability of Applicants and Licensees in the Hackney and Private Hire Trades.

## 6. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

Any financial costs incurred through the adoption of the Institute of Licensing Guidance on determining the suitability of applicants and licensees in the hackney and private hire trades use will be taken into account when calculating fees for taxi related licences.

## 7. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Theoretical risk of legal challenge if processes or procedures are not

followed.

## **8. RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS**

Existing staff in the Public Protection Service will undertake the associated work in adopting the guidance.

## **9. CONSULTATIONS**

If the Licensing Committee is minded to introduce the guidance, officers will consult on the document with the taxi trade.

## **10 . CONTACT OFFICER**

Donna Riding – Principal Officer - Licensing  
Telephone 01254 585004

# Guidance on determining the suitability of applicants and licensees in the hackney and private hire trades



April 2018

 Institute of Licensing

Produced by the Institute of Licensing in partnership with:

 **LLG**  
Lawyers in Local Government

 **NALEO**

 **Local Government Association**

## Contents

Foreword .....	2
Chapter 1: Introduction .....	3
Chapter 2: Offenders and Offending - An Overview .....	5
Risk of re-offending: .....	7
Chapter 3: 'Taxi' Licensing Overview .....	9
Taxi Drivers .....	9
Private Hire Operators .....	13
Vehicle Proprietors .....	15
Chapter 4: Guidance on Determination .....	17
Pre-application requirements .....	17
Vehicles: .....	17
Drivers: .....	18
Operators: .....	18
Drivers .....	20
Crimes resulting in death .....	21
Exploitation .....	21
Offences involving violence .....	21
Possession of a weapon .....	21
Sex and indecency offences .....	21
Dishonesty .....	22
Drugs .....	22
Discrimination .....	22
Motoring convictions .....	22
Drink driving/driving under the influence of drugs/using a hand-held telephone or hand held device whilst driving .....	22
Other motoring offences .....	23
Hackney carriage and private hire offences .....	23
Vehicle use offences .....	23
Private Hire Operators .....	23
Vehicle proprietors .....	24
Acknowledgements .....	25

## Foreword

The function of licensing is the protection of the public. A member of the public stepping into a motor vehicle driven by a stranger must be able to trust the driver. Are they honest? Are they competent? Are they safe? Are they trustworthy? When we transact with others, we usually have time and opportunity to make such assessments. When we transact with taxi drivers, we don't. Therefore, we must, and do, rely on the licence as the warranty of the driver's safety and suitability for the task at hand.

It follows that a licensing authority has an onerous responsibility. In making decisions regarding grant and renewal of licences it is, in effect, holding out the licensee as someone who can be trusted to convey the passenger from A to B in safety. That passenger may be you, or your elderly mother, or your teenage daughter, or a person who has had too much to drink, or who is vulnerable for a whole host of other reasons.

Everybody working in this field should acquaint themselves with the facts of the Rotherham case, which stands as a stark testament to what can happen when licensing performs its safeguarding role inadequately. But the extremity of that appalling story should not distract us from the job of protecting the public from more mundane incompetence, carelessness or dishonesty. The standards of safety and suitability do not have to be set as a base minimum. To the contrary, they may be set high, to give the public the assurance it requires when using a taxi service. It is good to know that one's driver is not a felon. It is better to know that he or she is a dedicated professional.

Crucially, this is not a field in which the licensing authority has to strike a fair balance between the driver's right to work and the public's right to protection. The public are entitled to be protected, full stop. That means that the licensing authority is entitled and bound to treat the safety of the public as the paramount consideration. It is, after all, the point of the exercise.

Therefore, this guidance is to be welcomed. It rightly emphasises that any circumstance relating to the licensee is potentially relevant, provided of course that it is relevant to their safety and suitability to hold a licence. It provides useful and authoritative guidelines to licensing authorities as to how they ought to approach their important task of making determinations about the safety and suitability of drivers and operators.

While, of course, licensing is a local function, it seems absurd that precisely the same conduct might result in a short period without a licence in one district, and a much longer period in a neighbouring district. If a driver is suitable in district A, they are surely suitable in district B, and vice versa. If, as is hoped, this guidance becomes widely adopted, this will result in a degree of national uniformity, which serves the public interest in consistency, certainty and confidence in the system of licensing. Adherence to the guidance may also provide protection to licensing authorities on appeal.

The guidance is therefore commended to licensing authorities. It is hoped that, in due course, it will sit at the elbow of every councillor and officer working in taxi licensing.

Philip Kolvin QC  
Cornerstone Barristers

April 2018



## Chapter 1: Introduction

---

- 1.1 This guidance has been produced by the Institute of Licensing working in partnership with the Local Government Association (LGA), Lawyers in Local Government (LLG) and the National Association of Licensing and Enforcement Officers (NALEO), following widespread consultation. We are grateful to all three organisations for their contributions. This guidance is formally endorsed by all of those organisations.
- 1.2 The overriding aim of any Licensing Authority when carrying out its functions relating to the licensing of Hackney or Private Hire Drivers, Vehicle Proprietors and Operators, must be the protection of the public and others who use (or can be affected by) Hackney Carriage and Private Hire services.
- 1.3 The relevant legislation provides that any person must satisfy the authority that they are a fit and proper person to hold a licence and that is a test to be applied after any applicant has gained any reasonably required qualifications<sup>1</sup>. It is the final part of the process of an application when the decision is made, whether by a committee, sub-committee or an officer under a Scheme of Delegation. It involves a detailed examination of their entire character in order to make a judgment as to their fitness and propriety.
- 1.4 If a licence holder falls short of the fit and proper standard at any time, the licence should be revoked or not renewed on application to do so.
- 1.5 There is no recent Statutory or Ministerial guidance as to how such decisions should be approached or what matters are relevant or material to a decision. This guidance complements the LGA's Taxi and Private Hire Licensing Councillor's Handbook and any forthcoming Government guidance. Local authorities should also be aware of the forthcoming National Anti Fraud Network database on refusals and revocations of hackney carriage and private hire licences.
- 1.6 This document is intended to provide guidance on determining suitability, taking into account the character of the applicant or licensee. It can then be used by local authorities as a basis for their own policies: in particular it considers how regard should be had to the antecedent history of the applicant or licence holder and its relevance to their 'fitness and propriety' or 'character'. As with any guidance it need not be slavishly followed but it provides a starting or reference point from which decisions can be made taking into account the particular merits of each case.
- 1.7 A licensing authority policy can take a 'bright line approach' and say "never" in a policy, but it remains a policy, and as such does not amount to any fetter on the discretion of the

---

<sup>1</sup> Except vehicle proprietors. In those cases there is no "fit and proper" requirement, but the authority has an absolute discretion over granting a licence.

authority. Each case will always be considered on its merits having regard to the policy, and the licensing authority can depart from the policy where it considers it appropriate to do so. This will normally happen where the licensing authority considers that there are exceptional circumstances which warrant a different decision. This approach was endorsed by the High Court in *R (on the application of Nicholds) v Security Industry Authority*<sup>2</sup>.

- 1.8 In Chapter 2 this Guidance explores the current thinking behind an individual's tendencies to reoffend. It is clear that this is not an exact science and that there is no meaningful and precise statistical evidence that can assist in the setting of policy. Given the important function of licensing to protect the public, any bar should be set at the highest level which is reasonable, albeit subject to the exercise of discretion as is set out in paragraph 1.7 and Chapters 3 and 4.
- 1.9 This Guidance contains no detailed list of offences. All offences are allocated to a general category such as 'dishonesty' or 'drugs'. This prevents it being argued that a specific offence is not covered by the Policy as it 'is not on the list' and also prevents arguments that a firearm is more serious than a knife and should lead to differentiation. In each case, appropriate weight should be given to the evidence provided.
- 1.10 This Guidance cannot have the force of legislation, new or amended; the need for which is both abundantly clear to, and fully supported by the Institute and the other organisations working with it. It is intended to help local authorities achieve greater consistency so that applicants are less able to shop between authorities. It is acknowledged that this cannot be fully achieved without the imposition of national minimum standards.
- 1.11 In preparing this document the Institute's Working Party has consulted with and considered the issues from all perspectives including, Councillors, Licensing Officers, Lawyers, the Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Trades, Academics, the Probation Service and the Police.

---

<sup>2</sup> [2007] 1 WLR 2067

## Chapter 2: Offenders and Offending - An Overview

---

- 2.1 The aim of local authority licensing of the taxi and PHV trades is to protect the public'.<sup>3</sup> With this in mind, Public Protection must be at the forefront of the decision maker's mind when determining whether an individual is considered a "fit and proper person" to hold a licence.
- 2.2 This section aims to provide a brief overview of public protection, how to determine risk and factors to be considered when an applicant seeks to demonstrate a change in their offending behaviour.
- 2.3 The licensing process places a duty on the local authority to protect the public. Given the nature of the role, it is paramount that those seeking a living in the trades meet the required standards. As the previous offending behaviour can be considered as a predictor in determining future behaviour as well as culpability, it is essential that the decision maker considers all relevant factors including previous convictions, cautions and complaints and the time elapsed since these were committed.
- 2.4 There has been extensive research into the reasons behind why some individuals commit crimes, why some learn from their mistakes and stop offending whilst others find themselves in a cycle of repeat offending. Several theories have evolved over many years offering insight into the reasons behind offending behaviour. One common theme is that no two crimes are the same and that risk cannot be eliminated, or the future predicted. What can be done, is to examine each case on its individual merits, look at the risks involved along with any change in circumstances since any offences were committed to assist in making the decision.
- 2.5 A key factor when considering an application from an individual with any convictions, cautions or complaints recorded is Public Protection. This includes assessing the risk of re-offending and harm<sup>4</sup>. Risk assessment tools are regularly employed by those who are responsible for managing individuals who have committed offences. Local Authorities are not always privy to this information so it is important when they are making decisions around suitability that they have an understanding of offending behaviour and risk of re-offending in generic terms.

---

<sup>3</sup> DfT "Taxi and Private Hire Licensing – Best Practice Guide" para 8

<sup>4</sup> Kemshall, H. (2008). Understanding the Management of High Risk Offenders (Crime and Justice). Open University Press

- 2.6 Flaud<sup>5</sup> noted that risk is in principle, a matter of fact, but danger is a matter of judgment and opinion. He goes on to note that risk may be said to be the likelihood of an event occurring; danger may be the degree of damage (harm) caused should that event take place<sup>6</sup>.
- 2.7 The National Offender Management Service refers to risk in two dimensions. That being the likelihood that an offence will occur, and the impact / harm of the offence should it happen. Generally, when making a decision around probability and likelihood of re-offending, consideration is needed towards static and dynamic factors.
- 2.8 Static factors are historical and do not change such as age, previous convictions and gender. They can be used as a basis for actuarial assessments and are fundamental in considering an individual's potential to reoffend in future<sup>7</sup>. For example, recent published statistics revealed that 44% of adults are reconvicted within one year of release. For those serving sentences of less than twelve months this increased to 59%<sup>8</sup>. It is also widely accepted that generally persons with a large number of previous offences have a higher rate of proven reoffending than those with fewer previous offences<sup>9</sup>.
- 2.9 Dynamic factors are considered changeable and can vary over time. They include attitudes, cognitions and impulsivity<sup>10</sup>. It is documented that the greater their unmet need, the more likely an individual is to re-offend. When considering whether an individual has been rehabilitated, it is important to have regard towards the motivation behind their offending and dynamic risk factors present at the time, against the steps taken to address such factors thus reducing the risk of re-offending.
- 2.10 It is of note that problems and/or needs are more frequently observed in offender populations than in the general population<sup>11</sup>. Many of these factors are interlinked and embedded in an individual's past experiences. This can impact upon that person's ability to change their behaviour, particularly if the areas identified have not been addressed or support has not been sought. Needs will vary from individual to individual and will rely upon their level of motivation and the nature of the offence committed.

---

<sup>5</sup> Flaud, R. (1982). Cited in, Gendreau, P., Little, T. and Goggin, C. (1996). A meta-analysis of the predictors of adult offender recidivism: what works! *Criminology*, 34, 557-607.

<sup>6</sup> Gendreau, P., Little, T. and Goggin, C. (1996). A meta-analysis of the predictors of adult offender recidivism: what works! *Criminology*, 34, 557-607.

<sup>7</sup> Craig, L. A. and Browne, K. B (2008). *Assessing Risk in Sex Offenders: A Practitioner's Guide* Paperback.

<sup>8</sup> Ministry of Justice (2017) *Proven reoffending statistics: July 2014 to June 2015*, London: Ministry of Justice.

<sup>9</sup> Ministry of Justice (2015): *Transforming Rehabilitation: a summary of evidence on reducing reoffending*. London: Ministry of Justice.

<sup>10</sup> McGuire, J. (2008). A review of effective interventions for reducing aggression and violence. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 363(1503), 2577-2597

<sup>11</sup> Nash, M. (1999) *Police, Probation and Protecting the Public*. London: Blackwell Press.

### Risk of re-offending:

- 2.11 The issue of recidivism and increase in serious crime rates has given rise to extensive publications, theories and changes in legislation with many focusing upon the need for more rehabilitation projects as a means of reducing re-offending rates. Central to the rehabilitation of offenders is the concept of criminogenic needs. This has been described by the National Offender Management Service as “any area where the offender has needs or deficits, in which a reduction in the need or deficit would lead to a reduction in the risk of re-conviction. An individual’s ability to address and reduce such needs relies heavily upon their motivation to change and desist and often takes place over a period of time”<sup>12</sup>.
- 2.12 Kurlychek, 2007 in her study noted that “a person who has offended in the past has been found to have a high probability of future offending, but this risk of recidivism is highest in the time period immediately after arrest or release from custody and, thereafter, decreases rapidly and dramatically with age”<sup>13</sup>.
- 2.13 A consistent finding throughout criminological literature is that male offenders tend to desist from crime aged 30 years and over<sup>14</sup>. It is well documented that the change occurs for various reasons; for example, as a result of successful treatment, natural maturation or the development of positive social relationships<sup>15</sup>. Female offenders are also considered more likely to desist from offending as they mature. The peak age of reported offending for females was 14 compared to 19 for males<sup>16</sup>.
- 2.14 Desisting from crime for people who have been involved in persistent offending is a difficult and complex process, likely to involve lapses and relapses. Some individuals may never desist<sup>17</sup>. As a result, it is important for individuals to evidence change in their behaviour before they can be considered to present a low or nil risk of re-offending. Often the only way of achieving this is through lapse of time.
- 2.15 The longer the time elapsed since an offence has been committed, the more likely the individual will desist from crime. It is noted that the more a life is lived crime-free, the more one comes to see the benefits of desistance<sup>18</sup>. Demonstrating a change in offending behaviour and an ability to make effective choices takes time and comes with some

---

<sup>12</sup> National Offender Management Service (2016). Public Protection Manual Edition. Proven Reoffending Statistics Quarterly Bulletin, October 2015 to December 2015

<sup>13</sup> Kurlychek, M C, Brame, R (2007). Scarlet letters and recidivism: Does an old criminal record predict future offending? University of South Carolina.

<sup>14</sup> Serin, R, C. and Lloyd, C.D (2008). Examining the process of offender change: the transitions to crime desistance. 347-364.

<sup>15</sup> Nash, M. (1999) Police, Probation and Protecting the Public. London: Blackwell Press.

<sup>16</sup> Trueman, C.N. (2015). Women and Crime. The History Learning Site. Ingatestone: Essex.

<sup>17</sup> Farrell, S (2005). Understanding Desistance from Crime: Emerging Theoretical Directions in Resettlement and Rehabilitation (Crime and Justice) Paperback.

<sup>18</sup> Maguire, M., Morgan, R. and Reiner, R. (2002). The Oxford Handbook of Criminology. 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

ambiguity for those who have committed offences. A study in 2007 looking into previous convictions and the links to re-offending concluded that “individuals who have offended in the distant past seem less likely to recidivate than individuals who have offended in the recent past”<sup>19</sup>.

- 2.16 Although it is not possible to determine the future behaviour of an individual, taking steps to reduce risk and protect the public can be achieved by following correct processes and guidance. Having regard to an individual’s previous behaviour and their potential to cause harm as a result of the choices they have made plays a significant part when making a decision as to whether to grant a licence. Being able to evidence change in behaviour will involve consideration of the circumstances at the time of the offence, steps taken to address any issues identified and that person’s ability to sustain such change. This can be a long process that can only be achieved over time.

---

<sup>19</sup> Kurlychek, M C, Brame, R (2007). Scarlet letters and recidivism: Does an old criminal record predict future offending? University of South Carolina.

## Chapter 3: 'Taxi' Licensing Overview

---

- 3.1 Taxis are used by almost everyone in our society occasionally, but they are used regularly by particularly vulnerable groups: children; the elderly; disabled people; and the intoxicated, and a taxi driver has significant power over a passenger who places themselves, and their personal safety, completely in the driver's hands.
- 3.2 Local authorities (districts, unitaries and Welsh Councils) and TfL are responsible for hackney carriage and private hire licensing.
- 3.3 The principal legislation is the Town Police Clauses Act 1847 and the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976. The purpose of taxi licensing is detailed in the DfT *"Taxi and Private Hire Licensing – Best Practice Guide"* para 8 which states:
- "The aim of local authority licensing of the taxi and PHV trades is to protect the public."
- 3.4 Within the two licensing regimes, there are 5 types of licence: hackney carriage vehicle; private hire vehicle; hackney carriage driver; private hire driver and private hire operator.
- 3.5 In relation to all these licences, the authority has a discretion over whether to grant. Whilst there is some guidance issued by the DfT, there are no national standards.
- 3.6 Drivers and operators cannot be granted a licence unless the authority is satisfied that they are a "fit and proper person" to hold that licence (see Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976 ss 51 and 59 in respect of drivers; s55 in respect of operators).
- 3.7 There are no statutory criteria for vehicle licences; therefore, the authority has an absolute discretion.
- 3.8 In each case, the authority has powers to grant a licence, renew it on application and, during the currency of the licence, suspend or revoke it.
- 3.9 What is the role of each of these, and how do authorities determine an application, or take action against a licence?

### Taxi Drivers

- 3.10 The term "taxi driver" encompasses two different occupations: hackney carriage drivers and private hire drivers. "Taxi driver" is therefore used as a broad, overarching term to cover both hackney carriage and private hire drivers. In each case there are identical statutory

criteria to be met before a licence can be granted and many authorities grant “dual” or “combined” licences to cover driving both types of vehicle.

- 3.11 An applicant must hold a full DVLA or equivalent driver’s licence, have the right to work in the UK, and be a “fit and proper” person<sup>20</sup>.
- 3.12 The driving licence element is a question of fact. Although there are some issues with foreign driving licences, ultimately a person either has, or does not have a driving licence.
- 3.13 An applicant must also have the right to remain, and work in the UK<sup>21</sup>.
- 3.14 Again, this is ultimately a question of fact and the local authority should follow the guidance issued by the Home Office.<sup>22</sup>
- 3.15 It is the whole issue of “fit and proper” that causes local authorities the most difficulties. It has never been specifically judicially defined but it was mentioned in *Leeds City Council v Hussain*<sup>23</sup>. Silber J said:
- “... the purpose of the power of suspension is to protect users of licensed vehicles and those who are driven by them and members of the public. Its purpose [and], therefore [the test of fitness and propriety], is to prevent licences being given to or used by those who are not suitable people taking into account their driving record, their driving experience, their sobriety, mental and physical fitness, honesty, and that they are people who would not take advantage of their employment to abuse or assault passengers.”
- 3.16 This is reflected in a test widely used by local authorities:
- ‘Would you (as a member of the licensing committee or other person charged with the ability to grant a hackney carriage driver’s licence) allow your son or daughter, spouse or partner, mother or father, grandson or granddaughter or any other person for whom you care, to get into a vehicle with this person alone?’<sup>24</sup>
- 3.17 It is suggested that the expression “safe and suitable” person to hold a driver’s licence is a good interpretation which neither adds nor removes anything from the original term of “fit and proper” but brings the concept up to date.

---

<sup>20</sup> Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976. Section 51(1) covers private hire drivers, and section 59(1) covers hackney carriage drivers.

<sup>21</sup> Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976 S51(1)(a)(ii) in respect of private hire drivers and S59(1)(a)(ii) in respect of hackney carriage drivers.

<sup>22</sup> “Guidance for Licensing Authorities to Prevent Illegal Working in the Taxi and Private Hire Sector in England and Wales” - Home Office, 1<sup>st</sup> December 2016 available at <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/licensing-authority-guide-to-right-to-work-checks>

<sup>23</sup> [2002] EWHC 1145 (Admin), [2003] RTR 199

<sup>24</sup> Button on Taxis – Licensing Law and Practice 4<sup>th</sup> Ed Bloomsbury Professional at para 10.21



3.18 How can a local authority assess and then judge whether or not someone is safe and suitable to hold a drivers' licence?

3.19 The local authority has the power to require an applicant to provide:

“such information as they may reasonably consider necessary to enable them to determine whether the licence should be granted and whether conditions should be attached to any such licence.”<sup>25</sup>

This “information” can include any pre-conditions or tests that they consider necessary

3.20 Some of these are universal, such as medical assessments<sup>26</sup>. Others are required by some authorities, but not others. These include:

- Enhanced DBS certificates and sign-up to the update service;
- Knowledge tests;
- Driving tests;
- Disability Awareness;
- Signed Declarations;
- Spoken English tests.

3.21 The provision of information in these terms can satisfy the local authority that a person has the skills and competencies to be a professional driver to hold a licence. However, the concepts of safety and suitability go beyond this. There is the character of the person to be considered as well.

3.22 Both hackney carriage and private hire drivers are exempt from the provisions of the Rehabilitation of Offenders Act 1974. This means that there are no “spent” convictions and that any and all criminal convictions (apart from “protected convictions” and “protected cautions” where they have been declared<sup>27</sup>) can be taken into account by the local authority in assessing safety and suitability, but only relevant spent convictions should be considered by the decision maker<sup>28</sup>.

3.23 All Applicants/Licensees should be required to obtain an Enhanced DBS Certificate with Barred Lists checks<sup>29</sup> and to provide this to the Licensing Authority. All Licensees should also be required to maintain their Certificates through the DBS Update Service throughout the currency of their licence.

---

<sup>25</sup> Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976 s57(1)

<sup>26</sup> See Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976 s57(2)

<sup>27</sup> “Protected convictions” and “protected cautions” are single, minor and elderly matters that do not appear on any DBS Certificates.

<sup>28</sup> See *Adamson v Waveney District Council* [1997] 2 All ER 898

<sup>29</sup> “For Taxi [driver] Licensing purposes the correct level of check is always the Enhanced level check, with the Adults and Children’s Barred list check. Other Workforce should always be entered at X61 line 1 and Taxi Licensing should be entered at X61 line 2” DBS email 31st August 2017.

- 3.24 If any applicant has, from the age of 10 years, spent six continuous months or more living outside the United Kingdom, evidence of a criminal record check from the country/countries covering the relevant period should be required.
- 3.25 Local authorities should have a policy to provide a baseline for the impact of any convictions, cautions or other matters of conduct which concern a person's safety and suitability<sup>30</sup>.
- 3.26 The character of the driver in its entirety must be the paramount consideration when considering whether they should be licensed. It is important to recognise that local authorities are not imposing any additional punishment in relation to previous convictions or behaviour. They are using all the information that is available to them to make an informed decision as to whether or not the applicant or licensee is or remains a safe and suitable person.
- 3.27 There are occasions where unsuitable people have been given licences by local authorities, or if refused by the authority, have had it granted by a court on appeal.
- 3.28 Often this is because of some perceived hardship. Case law makes it clear that the impact of losing (or not being granted) a driver's licence on the applicant and his family is not a consideration to be taken into account<sup>31</sup>. This then leads to the question of whether the stance taken by local authorities is robust enough to achieve that overriding aim of public protection.
- 3.29 However, all too often local authorities depart from their policies and grant licences (or do not take action against licensees) without clear and compelling reasons. It is vital that Councillors recognise that the policy, whilst remaining a policy and therefore the Authority's own guidelines on the matter, is the baseline for acceptability. It should only be departed from in exceptional circumstances and for justifiable reasons which should be recorded.
- 3.30 One common misunderstanding is that if the offence was not committed when the driver was driving a taxi, it is much less serious, or even if it was in a taxi but not when passengers were aboard. This is not relevant: speeding is dangerous, irrespective of the situation; drink driving is dangerous, irrespective of the situation; bald tyres are dangerous, irrespective of the situation. All these behaviours put the general public at risk. Violence is always serious. The argument that it was a domestic dispute, or away from the taxi, is irrelevant. A person who has a propensity to violence has that potential in every situation. Sexual offences are always serious. A person who has in the past abused their position (whatever that may have been)

---

<sup>30</sup> As recommended by the DfT "Taxi and Private Hire Licensing – Best Practice Guide" para 59

<sup>31</sup> *Leeds City Council v Hussain* [2002] EWHC 1145 (Admin), [2003] RTR 199 and *Cherwell District Council v Anwar* [2011] EWHC 2943 (Admin)

to assault another sexually has demonstrated completely unacceptable standards of behaviour.

- 3.31 Applicants may claim that they have sought employment in other fields and been precluded as a result of their antecedent history particularly if that contains convictions. They therefore seek to become a licensed driver as an occupation of last resort. This is unacceptable as the granting of a licence would place such a person in a unique position of trust. The paramount responsibility of a licensing authority is to protect the public, not provide employment opportunities.
- 3.32 Licensees are expected to demonstrate appropriate professional conduct at all time, whether in the context of their work or otherwise. Licensees should be courteous, avoid confrontation, not be abusive or exhibit prejudice in any way. In no circumstances should Licensees take the law into their own hands. Licensees are expected to act with integrity and demonstrate conduct befitting the trust that is placed in them.
- 3.33 There are those who seek to take advantage of vulnerable people by providing services that they are not entitled to provide; for example, by plying for hire in an area where they are not entitled to do so. Licensees are expected to be vigilant of such behaviour and to report any concerns to the Police and the relevant licensing authority. Passengers should feel confident to check that the person offering a service is entitled to do so. Licensees should willingly demonstrate that they are entitled to provide the offered service by, for example, showing their badge.
- 3.34 As a society, we need to ask the question “who is driving my taxi?” and be secure in the knowledge that the answer is “a safe and suitable person”. The vast majority of drivers are decent, law abiding people who work very hard to provide a good service to their customers and the community at large. However poor decisions by local authorities and courts serve to undermine the travelling public’s confidence in the trade as a whole. Unless local authorities and the courts are prepared to take robust (and difficult) decisions to maintain the standards the local authority lays down, and in some cases tighten up their own policies, the public cannot have complete confidence in taxi drivers. This is detrimental to all involved.

## Private Hire Operators

- 3.35 A private hire operator (“PHO”) is the person who takes a booking for a private hire vehicle (“PHV”), and then dispatches a PHV driven by a licensed private hire driver (“PHD”) to fulfil that booking. All three licences (PHO, PHV and PHD) must have been granted by the same

authority<sup>32</sup>. A local authority cannot grant a PHO licence unless the applicant has the right to work in the UK and is a fit and proper person<sup>33</sup>.

- 3.36 As with taxi drivers the role of the PHO goes far beyond simply taking bookings and dispatching vehicles. In the course of making the booking and dispatching the vehicle and driver, the PHO will obtain significant amounts of personal information. It is therefore vital that a PHO is as trustworthy and reliable as a driver, notwithstanding their slightly remote role. Hackney carriages can also be pre-booked, but local authorities should be mindful that where that booking is made by anybody other than a hackney carriage driver, there are no controls or vetting procedures in place in relation to the person who takes that booking and holds that personal information.
- 3.37 How then does a local authority satisfy itself as to the “fitness and propriety” or “safety and suitability” of the applicant or licensee?
- 3.38 Spent convictions can be taken into account when determining suitability for a licence, but the applicant (or licensee on renewal) can only be asked to obtain a Basic Disclosure from the Disclosure and Barring Service.
- 3.39 Although this is by no means a perfect system, it does give local authorities a reasonable basis for making an informed decision as to fitness and propriety of an applicant or existing licensee.
- 3.40 To enable consistent and informed decisions to be made, it is important to have a working test of fitness and propriety for PHOs and a suitable variation on the test for drivers can be used:
- “Would I be comfortable providing sensitive information such as holiday plans, movements of my family or other information to this person, and feel safe in the knowledge that such information will not be used or passed on for criminal or unacceptable purposes?”<sup>34</sup>
- 3.41 There is a further point to consider in relation to PHOs and that concerns the staff used on the telephones and radios. There is no reason why a condition cannot be imposed on a PHO licence requiring them to undertake checks on those they employ/use within their company to satisfy themselves that they are fit and proper people to undertake that task and retain that information to demonstrate that compliance to the local authority. Any failure on the part of the PHO to either comply with this requirement, or act upon information that they

---

<sup>32</sup> See *Dittah v Birmingham City Council*, *Choudhry v Birmingham City Council* [1993] RTR 356 QBD

<sup>33</sup> Section 55(1) Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976

<sup>34</sup> Button on Taxis – Licensing Law and Practice 4<sup>th</sup> Ed Bloomsbury Professional at para 12.35

obtain (thereby allowing unsuitable staff to work in positions of trust), would then have serious implications on the continuing fitness and propriety of the PHO.

- 3.42 Care should be taken in circumstances where a PHO Licence is sought in the name of a limited company, partnership or other business structure that all the requirements applicable to an individual applicant are made of each director or partner of the applicant organisation<sup>35</sup>. Only by so doing can a decision be made as to the fitness and propriety of the operating entity.

## Vehicle Proprietors

- 3.43 Similar considerations apply to the vehicle proprietors, both hackney carriage and private hire (referred to here generically as “taxis”). Although the vehicle proprietor may not be driving a vehicle (and if they are they will be subject to their own fitness and propriety test to obtain a driver’s licence), they clearly have an interest in the use of the vehicle. They will also be responsible for the maintenance of the vehicle, and vehicles that are not properly maintained have a clear impact on public safety.
- 3.44 Taxis are used to transport people in many circumstances, and are seen everywhere across the United Kingdom, at all times of the day and night, in any location. Therefore, taxis could provide a transportation system for illegal activities or any form of contraband, whether that is drugs, guns, illicit alcohol or tobacco, or people who are involved in or are the victims of illegal activity, or children who may be at risk of being, or are being, abused or exploited.
- 3.45 In relation to both hackney carriages and private hire vehicles, the local authority has an absolute discretion over granting the licence<sup>36</sup> and should therefore ensure that both their enquiries and considerations are robust. It is much more involved than simply looking at the vehicle itself and it is equally applicable on applications to transfer a vehicle as on grant applications.
- 3.46 Again, this is not an exempt occupation for the purposes of the 1974 Act, but exactly the same process can be applied as for private hire operators – Basic DBS, statutory declaration and consideration of spent convictions. This can then be used in the light of a similar policy in relation to suitability as the authority will already have for drivers and PHOs.
- 3.47 A suitable test would be:

“Would I be comfortable allowing this person to have control of a licensed vehicle that can travel anywhere, at any time of the day or night without arousing suspicion, and be

---

<sup>35</sup> See s57(1)(c) of the 1976 Act.

<sup>36</sup> S37 of the 1847 Act in relation to hackney carriages; section 48 of the 1976 Act to private hire vehicles.

satisfied that he/she would not allow it to be used for criminal or other unacceptable purposes, and be confident that he/she would maintain it to an acceptable standard throughout the period of the licence?”<sup>37</sup>

---

<sup>37</sup> Button on Taxis – Licensing Law and Practice 4<sup>th</sup> Ed Bloomsbury Professional at para 8.98

## Chapter 4: Guidance on Determination

---

- 4.1 As is clear from the overview of Offenders and Offending above, there is no evidence which can provide precise periods of time which must elapse after a crime before a person can no longer be considered to be at risk of reoffending, but the risk reduces over time. In light of that, the suggested timescales below are intended to reduce the risk to the public to an acceptable level.
- 4.2 Many members of our society use, and even rely on, hackney carriages and private hire vehicles to provide transportation services. This can be on a regular basis, or only occasionally, but in all cases passengers, other road users and society as a whole must have confidence in the safety and suitability of the driver, the vehicle itself and anyone involved with the booking process.
- 4.3 Ideally, all those involved in the hackney carriage and private hire trades (hackney carriage and private hire drivers, hackney carriage and private hire vehicle owners and private hire operators) would be persons of the highest integrity. In many cases that is true, and the vast majority of those involved in these trades are decent, upstanding, honest and hard-working individuals. Unfortunately, as in any occupation or trade, there are those who fail to conform to those standards.
- 4.4 The purpose of this document is to offer guidance on how licensing authorities can determine whether a particular person is safe and suitable either to be granted a licence in the first place or to retain such a licence. As outlined above, a policy can be robust, and if necessary, say never, and each case is then considered on its own merits in the light of that policy.

### Pre-application requirements

- 4.5 Licensing authorities are entitled to set their own pre-application requirements. These will vary depending upon the type of licence in question but can include some or all of the following (these are not exhaustive lists):

#### Vehicles:

- Basic DBS checks;
- Specifications e.g. minimum number of doors, minimum seat size, headroom, boot space etc;
- Mechanical tests and tests of the maintenance of the vehicle e.g. ripped seats etc;
- Emission limits/vehicle age limits;
- Wheelchair accessibility requirements.

#### Drivers:

- Enhanced DBS checks with update service;
- Checks made to the National Anti Fraud Network database on refusals and revocations of hackney carriage and private hire licences (when available);
- Medical checks;
- Knowledge of the geographic area;
- Spoken and written English tests;
- Disability awareness training;
- Child sexual exploitation and safeguarding training.

#### Operators:

- Basic DBS checks;
- Details of their vetting procedures for their staff;
- Knowledge of the licensing area.

- 4.6 In relation to each of these licences, the licensing authority has discretion as to whether or not to grant the licence.
- 4.7 Drivers and operators cannot be granted a licence unless the authority is satisfied that they are a “fit and proper person” to hold that licence (see Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976 ss 51 and 59 in respect of drivers; s55 in respect of operators).
- 4.8 There are no statutory criteria for vehicle licences, therefore the authority has an absolute discretion over whether to grant either a hackney carriage or private hire proprietor’s licence.
- 4.9 “Fit and proper” means that the individual (or in the case of a private hire operator’s licence, the limited company together with its directors and secretary, or all members of a partnership<sup>38</sup>) is “safe and suitable” to hold the licence.
- 4.10 In determining safety and suitability the licensing authority is entitled to take into account all matters concerning that applicant or licensee. They are not simply concerned with that person’s behaviour whilst working in the hackney carriage or private hire trade. This consideration is far wider than simply criminal convictions or other evidence of unacceptable behaviour, and the entire character of the individual will be considered. This can include, but is not limited to, the individual’s attitude and temperament.

---

<sup>38</sup> Section 57(2)(c) of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976 allows a local authority to consider the character of a company director or secretary, or any partner.



- 4.11 Convictions for attempt or conspiracy will be regarded as convictions for the substantive crime. A caution is regarded in exactly the same way as a conviction<sup>39</sup>. Fixed penalties and community resolutions will also be considered in the same way as a conviction<sup>40</sup>.
- 4.12 It is important to recognise that matters which have not resulted in a criminal conviction (whether that is the result of an acquittal, a conviction being quashed, decision not to prosecute or an investigation which is continuing where the individual has been bailed) can and will be taken into account by the licensing authority. In addition, complaints where there was no police involvement will also be considered. Within this document, any reference to "conviction" will also include matters that amount to criminal behaviour, but which have not resulted in a conviction.
- 4.13 In the case of any new applicant who has been charged with any offence and is awaiting trial, the determination will be deferred until the trial has been completed or the charges withdrawn. Where an existing licensee is charged, it will be for the licensing authority to decide what action to take in the light of these guidelines.
- 4.14 In all cases, the licensing authority will consider the conviction or behaviour in question and what weight should be attached to it, and each and every case will be determined on its own merits, and in the light of these guidelines.
- 4.15 Any offences committed, or unacceptable behaviour reported whilst driving a hackney carriage or private hire vehicle, concerning the use of a hackney carriage or private hire vehicle, or in connection with an operator of a private hire vehicle will be viewed as aggravating features, and the fact that any other offences were not connected with the hackney carriage and private hire trades will not be seen as mitigating factors.
- 4.16 As the licensing authority will be looking at the entirety of the individual, in many cases safety and suitability will not be determined by a specified period of time having elapsed following a conviction or the completion of a sentence. Time periods are relevant and weighty considerations, but they are not the only determining factor.
- 4.17 In addition to the nature of the offence or other behaviour, the quantity of matters and the period over which they were committed will also be considered. Patterns of repeated unacceptable or criminal behaviour are likely to cause greater concern than isolated occurrences as such patterns can demonstrate a propensity for such behaviour or offending.
- 4.18 Most applicants or licensees will have no convictions and that is clearly the ideal situation. In relation to other people, it is accepted that human beings do make mistakes and lapse in their conduct for a variety of reasons, and it is further accepted that many learn from experience and do not go on to commit further offences. Accordingly, in many cases an isolated

---

<sup>39</sup> This is because a caution can only be imposed following an admission of guilt, which is equivalent to a guilty plea on prosecution.

<sup>40</sup> This is because payment of a fixed penalty indicates acceptance of guilt, and a community resolution can only be imposed following an admission of guilt.

conviction, especially if committed some time ago, may not prevent the grant or renewal of a licence.

- 4.19 It is also important to recognise that once a licence has been granted, there is a continuing requirement on the part of the licensee to maintain their safety and suitability. The licensing authority has powers to take action against the holder of all types of licence (driver's, vehicle and operator's) and it must be understood that any convictions or other actions on the part of the licensee which would have prevented them being granted a licence on initial application will lead to that licence being revoked.
- 4.20 Any dishonesty by any applicant or other person on the applicant's behalf which is discovered to have occurred in any part of any application process (e.g. failure to declare convictions, false names or addresses, falsified references) will result in a licence being refused, or if already granted, revoked and may result in prosecution.
- 4.21 As the direct impact on the public varies depending upon the type of licence applied for or held, it is necessary to consider the impact of particular offences on those licences separately. However, there are some overriding considerations which will apply in all circumstances.
- 4.22 Generally, where a person has more than one conviction, this will raise serious questions about their safety and suitability. The licensing authority is looking for safe and suitable individuals, and once a pattern or trend of repeated offending is apparent, a licence will not be granted or renewed.
- 4.23 Where an applicant/licensee is convicted of an offence which is not detailed in this guidance, the licensing authority will take that conviction into account and use these guidelines as an indication of the approach that should be taken.
- 4.24 These guidelines do not replace the duty of the licensing authority to refuse to grant a licence where they are not satisfied that the applicant or licensee is a fit and proper person. Where a situation is not covered by these guidelines, the authority must consider the matter from first principles and determine the fitness and propriety of the individual.

## Drivers

- 4.25 As the criteria for determining whether an individual should be granted or retain a hackney carriage driver's licence are identical to the criteria for a private hire driver's licence, the two are considered together.
- 4.26 A driver has direct responsibility for the safety of their passengers, direct responsibility for the safety of other road users and significant control over passengers who are in the vehicle. As those passengers may be alone, and may also be vulnerable, any previous convictions or unacceptable behaviour will weigh heavily against a licence being granted or retained.

- 4.27 As stated above, where an applicant has more than one conviction showing a pattern or tendency irrespective of time since the convictions, serious consideration will need to be given as to whether they are a safe and suitable person.
- 4.28 In relation to single convictions, the following time periods should elapse following completion of the sentence (or the date of conviction if a fine was imposed) before a licence will be granted.

#### Crimes resulting in death

- 4.29 Where an applicant or licensee has been convicted of a crime which resulted in the death of another person or was intended to cause the death or serious injury of another person they will not be licensed.

#### Exploitation

- 4.30 Where an applicant or licensee has been convicted of a crime involving, related to, or has any connection with abuse, exploitation, use or treatment of another individual irrespective of whether the victim or victims were adults or children, they will not be licensed. This includes slavery, child sexual exploitation, grooming, psychological, emotional or financial abuse, but this is not an exhaustive list.

#### Offences involving violence

- 4.31 Where an applicant has a conviction for an offence of violence, or connected with any offence of violence, a licence will not be granted until at least 10 years have elapsed since the completion of any sentence imposed.

#### Possession of a weapon

- 4.32 Where an applicant has a conviction for possession of a weapon or any other weapon related offence, a licence will not be granted until at least 7 years have elapsed since the completion of any sentence imposed.

#### Sex and indecency offences

- 4.33 Where an applicant has a conviction for any offence involving or connected with illegal sexual activity or any form of indecency, a licence will not be granted.
- 4.34 In addition to the above, the licensing authority will not grant a licence to any applicant who is currently on the Sex Offenders Register or on any 'barred' list.

### Dishonesty

- 4.35 Where an applicant has a conviction for any offence of dishonesty, or any offence where dishonesty is an element of the offence, a licence will not be granted until at least 7 years have elapsed since the completion of any sentence imposed.

### Drugs

- 4.36 Where an applicant has any conviction for, or related to, the supply of drugs, or possession with intent to supply or connected with possession with intent to supply, a licence will not be granted until at least 10 years have elapsed since the completion of any sentence imposed.
- 4.37 Where an applicant has a conviction for possession of drugs, or related to the possession of drugs, a licence will not be granted until at least 5 years have elapsed since the completion of any sentence imposed. In these circumstances, any applicant will also have to undergo drugs testing at their own expense to demonstrate that they are not using controlled drugs.

### Discrimination

- 4.38 Where an applicant has a conviction involving or connected with discrimination in any form, a licence will not be granted until at least 7 years have elapsed since the completion of any sentence imposed.

### Motoring convictions

- 4.39 Hackney carriage and private hire drivers are professional drivers charged with the responsibility of carrying the public. Any motoring conviction demonstrates a lack of professionalism and will be considered seriously. It is accepted that offences can be committed unintentionally, and a single occurrence of a minor traffic offence would not prohibit the grant of a licence or may not result in action against an existing licence. Subsequent convictions reinforce the fact that the licensee does not take their professional responsibilities seriously and is therefore not a safe and suitable person to be granted or retain a licence.

### Drink driving/driving under the influence of drugs/using a hand-held telephone or hand held device whilst driving

- 4.40 Where an applicant has a conviction for drink driving or driving under the influence of drugs, a licence will not be granted until at least 7 years have elapsed since the completion of any sentence or driving ban imposed. In these circumstances, any applicant will also have to undergo drugs testing at their own expense to demonstrate that they are not using controlled drugs.
- 4.41 Where an applicant has a conviction for using a held-hand mobile telephone or a hand-held device whilst driving, a licence will not be granted until at least 5 years have elapsed since the conviction or completion of any sentence or driving ban imposed, whichever is the later.

### Other motoring offences

- 4.42 A minor traffic or vehicle related offence is one which does not involve loss of life, driving under the influence of drink or drugs, driving whilst using a mobile phone, and has not resulted in injury to any person or damage to any property (including vehicles). Where an applicant has 7 or more points on their DVLA licence for minor traffic or similar offences, a licence will not be granted until at least 5 years have elapsed since the completion of any sentence imposed.
- 4.43 A major traffic or vehicle related offence is one which is not covered above and also any offence which resulted in injury to any person or damage to any property (including vehicles). It also includes driving without insurance or any offence connected with motor insurance. Where an applicant has a conviction for a major traffic offence or similar offence, a licence will not be granted until at least 7 years have elapsed since the completion of any sentence imposed.

### Hackney carriage and private hire offences

- 4.44 Where an applicant has a conviction for an offence concerned with or connected to hackney carriage or private hire activity (excluding vehicle use), a licence will not be granted until at least 7 years have elapsed since the completion of any sentence imposed.

### Vehicle use offences

- 4.45 Where an applicant has a conviction for any offence which involved the use of a vehicle (including hackney carriages and private hire vehicles), a licence will not be granted until at least 7 years have elapsed since the completion of any sentence imposed.

## Private Hire Operators

- 4.46 A private hire operator (“an operator”) does not have direct responsibility for the safety of passengers, other road users or direct contact with passengers who are in the private hire vehicle (except where they are also licensed as a private hire driver). However, in performing their duties they obtain and hold considerable amounts of personal and private information about their passengers which must be treated in confidence and not revealed to others, or used by the operator or their staff for criminal or other unacceptable purposes.
- 4.47 As stated above, where an applicant has more than one conviction, serious consideration will need to be given as to whether they are a safe and suitable person.
- 4.48 Operators must ensure that any staff that are used within the business (whether employees or independent contractors) and are able to access any information as described above are subject to the same standards as the operator themselves. This can be effected by means of the individual staff member being required by the operator to obtain a basic DBS certificate. If an operator is found not to be applying the required standards and using staff that do not meet the licensing authority’s overall criteria, that will lead to the operator’s licence being revoked.

- 4.49 As public trust and confidence in the overall safety and integrity of the private hire system is vital, the same standards will be applied to operators as those applied to drivers, which are outlined above.

## Vehicle proprietors

- 4.50 Vehicle proprietors (both hackney carriage and private hire) have two principal responsibilities.
- 4.51 Firstly, they must ensure that the vehicle is maintained to an acceptable standard at all times.
- 4.52 Secondly, they must ensure that the vehicle is not used for illegal or illicit purposes.
- 4.53 As stated above, where an applicant has more than one conviction, serious consideration will need to be given as to whether they are a safe and suitable person to be granted or retain a vehicle licence.
- 4.54 As public trust and confidence in the overall safety and integrity of the private hire system is vital, the same standards will be applied to proprietors as those applied to drivers, which are outlined above.

## Acknowledgements

---

In December 2015, the Institute of Licensing established a working party to look at the creation of a model or standard set of guidelines in relation to assessing the suitability of applicants and licence holders in relation to taxi drivers, operators and vehicle proprietors, taking into account the character of the applicant or licensee.

The core project group comprised:

- Stephen Turner, Solicitor at Hull City Council, Licensing Lead for Lawyers in Local Government and Vice Chair of the North East Region IoL (Project Group Chair)
- Jim Button, Solicitor at James Button & Co and President of IoL
- Philip Kolvin QC, Cornerstone Barristers and Patron of IoL
- John Miley, Licensing Manager for Broxtowe Borough Council, National Chair for NALEO and Vice Chair of the East Midlands Region IoL
- Linda Cannon, previously Licensing Manager for Basingstoke & Dean and Hart Councils, and now private licensing consultant and Chair of the South East Region IoL
- Phil Bates, Licensing Manager for Southampton City Council
- Sue Nelson, Executive Officer of IoL

This Guidance is the result of the work of the project team and includes consideration of antecedent history of the applicant or licence holder and its relevance to their 'character' as well as consideration of convictions, cautions and non-conviction information.

The Institute is delighted to have the Local Government Association, the National Association of Licensing and Enforcement Officers and Lawyers in Local Government contributing to and supporting this project with IoL.

The Institute is also grateful to others who have contributed to the work of the project group, including former probation officer Hannah Jones (now Housing Officer at Flintshire Council) who has assisted the group in providing the chapter on 'Offenders and Offending'.

This project has been further enhanced by invaluable contributions from the following individuals and organisations:

- Ellie Greenwood and Rebecca Johnson, Local Government Association
- Tim Briton, Lawyers in Local Government
- Ben Atrill
- Suzy Lamplugh Trust
- Councillor Richard Wright, North Kesteven District Council
- Professor of Criminology Fiona Measham, Durham University
- Councillor Philip Evans, Conwy County Borough Council
- Councillors Catriona Morris and Mick Legg, Milton Keynes Council
- Louise Scott Garner
- Jenna Parker, Institute of Licensing

Finally, grateful thanks go to all those who responded to the initial fact-finding survey and the subsequent consultation on the draft guidance.



Institute of Licensing  
Egerton House  
2 Tower Road  
Birkenhead  
CH41 1FN  
T: 0151 650 6984  
E: [info@instituteoflicensing.org](mailto:info@instituteoflicensing.org)



The Institute of Licensing (IoL) is the professional body for licensing practitioners across the UK.  
[www.instituteoflicensing.org](http://www.instituteoflicensing.org)



The Local Government Association (LGA) is the national voice of local government.  
[www.local.gov.uk](http://www.local.gov.uk)



Lawyers in Local Government (LLG). [www.lawyersinlocalgovernment.org](http://www.lawyersinlocalgovernment.org)



National Association of Licensing and Enforcement Officers (NALEO). [www.naleo.org.uk](http://www.naleo.org.uk)

## DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT AND LEISURE

ORIGINATING SECTION: PUBLIC PROTECTION SERVICE

REPORT TO : LICENSING COMMITTEE 30 October 2018

TITLE : UPDATE ON THE WORK OF PUBLIC PROTECTION AND ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICE

### 1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this report is to provide an update on the work of the Council's Public Protection and Environmental Health Service (PPS) where there is a connection with businesses and persons who require a licence from the Council. It also provides an update for members on national policy changes and proposals affecting licencing work.

This report covers the time period July to October 2018.

### 2. RECOMMENDATIONS

That members note the report.

### 3. KEY ISSUES

#### 3.1 Nocturnal Expedited Review

Following a violent incident outside the Nocturnal Nightclub, St Peter Street, Blackburn in August, Lancashire Constabulary applied for a summary review of the club's premises licence. This resulted in an "interim steps hearing" on 24 August where a licensing sub-committee considered if any immediate, interim steps were needed to promote the licensing objectives, in particular to prevent crime and disorder and protect children from harm. The panel decided that the premises licence for the club needed to be suspended.

Following the transfer of the premises licence to another company a further sub-committee meeting was held on 6 September. The result of this was the suspension of the licence was lifted and the premises allowed to re-open. Additional conditions were put in place to improve safety at the club until a final sub-committee meeting on 20 September confirmed the licence could continue.

#### 3.2 Sale of alcohol to children

An under-age sales test purchasing operation took place on 5 October with 10 off-licences being checked following intelligence being received. Of these, 3 sales of alcohol were made to the 14 year old volunteers.

Investigations are ongoing to determine the best course of action to deal with those who have sold alcohol to children.

#### 3.3 Gambling Premises Inspections

Evening visits have been made to a number of Gambling premises in Blackburn and Darwen to check on compliance with licence requirements. All were found to be compliant.

### 3.4 Joint working

Licensing and Trading Standards staff took part in a multi-agency operation during Rogue Traders Week in early October. This involved vehicles being stopped for checks by Police, VOSA, HMRC and Public Protection. Private hire vehicles and a scrap metal collectors van were amongst the vehicles stopped. No issues were found with these vehicles.

### 3.5 Routine work carried out

The following table provides some of the key figures on work carried out by members of staff working in the Licensing Team from 1 July – 30 September 2018.

Vehicle licences processed	<b>407</b>
Driver licences processed	<b>58</b>
Licensing Act licences processed	<b>23</b>
Visits to new DPSs	<b>32</b>
Inspections of premises/vehicles carried out	<b>148</b>

### 3.6 National Issues

#### Animal welfare

The Animal Welfare (Licensing of Activities Involving Animals) (England) Regulations 2018 come into force on 1 October and are designed to strengthen animal welfare, particularly around puppy sales.

The new regulations will:

- Ensure that breeders show puppies alongside their mother before a sale is made.
- Tighten regulations so that puppy sales are completed in the presence of the new owner – preventing online sales where prospective buyers have not seen the animal first.
- Ban licensed sellers from dealing in puppies and kittens under the age of 8 weeks.
- Regulate adverts, including on the internet, by ensuring licensed sellers of all pets include the seller's licence number, country of origin and country of residence of the pet.
- Introduce a new "star rating" for dog breeders, pet shops, dog and cat boarding providers and others informing potential customers of how well businesses comply with welfare standards. The star rating will dictate how often the businesses are inspected with those with better standards receiving fewer inspections than those with fewer stars.

#### Taxi law reform

The Task and Finish Group on Taxi and Private Hire Vehicle Licensing, chaired by Professor Mohammed Abdel-Haq has concluded and made 34 recommendations to the Government. The recommendations include calls for:

- An urgent review of taxi and PHV legislation
- National minimum standards for taxi and PHV licensing - for drivers, vehicles and operators
- An urgent update of Government Best Practice Guidance
- The introduction of a statutory definition of both 'plying for hire' and 'pre-booked'

- Companies that act as intermediaries between passengers and taxi drivers to meet the same licensing requirements and obligations as PHV operators
- Local licensing authorities, where a need is proven through a public interest test, to set a cap on the number of taxi and PHVs
- All licensing authorities should use their existing powers to make it a condition of licensing that drivers cooperate with requests from authorised compliance officers in other areas
- Legislation to be brought forward to enable licensing authorities to carry out enforcement and compliance checks and take appropriate action against any taxi or PHV in their area
- All taxi and PHV journeys should start and/or end within the area for which the driver, vehicle and operator are licensed
- Licensing authorities should ensure that their licensing, administration and enforcement functions are adequately resourced
- In the interests of passenger safety all licensed vehicles must be fitted with CCTV (visual and audio) subject to strict data protection measures
- The Quality Assurance Framework and Common Law Police Disclosure Provisions must be reviewed to ensure as much relevant information of conduct as well as crimes, by taxi and PHV drivers (and applicants) is disclosed
- Mandatory training requirements for taxi drivers in safeguarding and equality awareness
- Mandatory language requirements for licence holders
- The low pay and exploitation of some, but not all, drivers is a source of concern. Licensing authorities should take into account any evidence of a person or business flouting employment law, and with it the integrity of the National Living Wage, as part of their test of whether that person or business is "fit and proper" to be a PHV or taxi operator
- An urgent review of the evidence and case for restricting the number of hours that taxi and PHV drivers work

The Department for Transport have been asked to take the recommendations of the report and amend legislation as required.

#### **4. RATIONALE**

To inform members of the Licensing committee about the key work carried out by staff working within the Council's Public Protection and Environmental Health Service where there is a connection with businesses and people needing a licence from the Council. It also provides an update on some national licensing issues.

#### **5. POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

None.

#### **6. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

None

#### **7. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS**

None.

## **8. RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS**

None

## **9. CONSULTATIONS**

None

## **10 . CONTACT OFFICER**

Denise Andrews – Business Compliance and Licensing Manager  
Telephone 01254 267648

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

By virtue of paragraph(s) 1 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted